1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOE L. McKENNEY, JR.,	Civil No. 07cv1735-L(POR)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND
13	v.	DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH
14) R. HERNANDEZ <i>et al.</i> ,	AMENDMENT CLAIM
15 16) Defendants.	

Plaintiff Joe L. McKenney, Jr., a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*,
filed this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging numerous constitutional violations under the
Eighth Amendment, First Amendment and the Due Process Clause. The case was referred to
United States Magistrate Judge Louisa S. Porter for a report and recommendation in accordance
with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Civil Local Rule 72.3.

In the first amended complaint, the operative complaint in this action, Plaintiff alleged
Eighth Amendment claims based on excessive force, deliberate indifference to safety and
deprivation of outdoor recreation, and a First Amendment claim for denial of meaningful access
to the courts. Defendants moved to dismiss the Eighth Amendment claim for deprivation of
outdoor exercise based on failure to exhaust administrative remedies. The Magistrate Judge
issued a Report and Recommendation, recommending Defendants' motion to dismiss be denied.
Defendants filed no objections.

07cv1735

1 A district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended decision on a dispositive 2 matter prepared by a magistrate judge proceeding without the consent of the parties for all 3 purposes. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); see 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). "The court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is 4 5 made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Section 636(b)(1) does not require some lesser review by the district court when no objections are filed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149-50 (1985). The 6 7 "statute makes it clear that the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and 8 recommendations de novo if objection is made, but not otherwise." United States v. 9 Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (emphasis in the original); see 10 Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1225-26 & n.5 (D. Ariz. 2003).

In the absence of any objections, the court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation.
 Defendants' motion to dismiss is hereby DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 15, 2011

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

United States District Court Judge

COPY TO: HON. LOUISA S. PORTER

19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

20 ALL PARTIES/COUNSEL