Case 3:07-cv-01792-JM-WMC Document 3 Filed 11/05/2007 Page 1 of 2

3

2

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

15

18

1920

2122

2324

25

27

26

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUSTICE ALEXANDRIA,

Petitioner,

VS.

AMTRAK and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

CASE NO. 07cv1792 JM(WMc)

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS; DISMISSING ACTION

Petitioner Justice Alexandria moves for leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u>. Pursuant to declaration, Petitioner is unemployed and does not possess any significant asset. Accordingly, the court grants Petitioner leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915.

The court also <u>sua sponte</u> dismiss the petition brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2241. A prerequisite to maintaining a petition for writ of habeas corpus under §2241 is the custody or detention requirement. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. §§2241 and 2242. Moreover, the only relief that can be granted on such a petition is to "award the writ [ordering release from custody] or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted." <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. §2243; <u>Carafas v. LaVelle</u>, 391 U.S. 234, 238 (1968) (it is a statutory jurisdictional prerequisite that a habeas corpus petitioner be in custody at the time of filing the petition). Here, Petitioner broadly alleges that "I have been denialed (sic) right to file claim," "I was denied . . . basic human rights, the right to housing, the right to wealth, the right to food, the right to social life," and "I was denied due process and I tryed

- 1 - 07cv1792

- 2 - 07cv1792