Hayes

v. Re	liant Pharmacy Services et al				Do	
	Case 3:07-cv-01878-LAB-AJB Do	cument 3	Filed 09/28/2007	Page 1 of 4		
1					cal	
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	LISSA HAYES,) Civil	No.07cv1878 LAB (A	AJB)		
12	Plaintiff, v.		ER SETTING EARL			
13	RELIANT PHARMACY SERVICES,) ORDI	LUATION CONFER ERING THE PARTI	ES TO MEET		
14 15	LINCARE PHARMACY SERVICES, INC. et al.,) FILIN	CONFER; AND OR NG OF A JOINT STA PARTIES			
15 16	Defendants.)	PARTIES			
17	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that an Early Neutral Evaluation of the above entitled action will be					
18	held on November 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. in the chambers of Judge Anthony J. Battaglia, US Courts					
19	Building, 940 Front St., Room 1145, San Diego, California.					
20	Mandatory Pre-Conference Requirements					
21	Plaintiff's counsel shall serve a copy of this Notice and Order on all defendants making an					
22	appearance after the date of this notice.					
23	All conference discussions will be informal, off the record, privileged and confidential.					
24	Based upon the Court's familiarity with these matters and in the interest of promoting the just,					
25	efficient and economical determination of this action, the Court issues the following orders at this time:					
26	1. All formal discovery shall be stayed in this case until the completion of the Early Neutral					
27	Evaluation Conference set herein;					
28						
		1			07cv1878	

2. On or before *October 23, 2007*, Plaintiff's counsel shall lodge with Judge Battaglia's
chambers (*via e-mail at efile_battaglia@casd.uscourts.gov*) and serve on opposing counsel a
statement, not in excess of two pages, including:

- a. An itemized list of the specific issues on the subject premises which are the basis of the claimed violations under the Americans with Disabilities Act;
- b. A statement of the amount and category of damages claimed by Plaintiff in this action;
- c. The amount claimed for attorney's fees and costs; and,
- 9

4

5

6

7

8

d. The Plaintiff's demand for settlement of the case in its entirety.

3. 10 After service of Plaintiff's statement and no later than November 6, 2007, counsel for 11 the parties, and any unrepresented parties, shall meet and confer in person at the subject premises regarding settlement of (1) the alleged premise violations, and (2) damages, costs and attorney fee 12 claims. All counsel appearing in the case shall be responsible to make the arrangements for the 13 conference. The meet and confer obligation cannot be satisfied by telephone or the exchange 14 of letters. No later than November 13, 2007, counsel shall lodge with Judge Battaglia's chambers 15 16 (via e-mail at efile_battaglia@casd.uscourts.gov) a joint statement certifying that the required inperson conference between counsel has taken place and advising the Court of the status of settlement 17 18 negotiations and setting forth all issues in dispute, including property issues, Plaintiff's alleged 19 damages, and the claim for attorney's fees and costs, along with a description of any settlement 20 demands and/or offers exchanged.

4. Plaintiff's counsel shall be prepared to present documentation to the Court, at the Early
Neutral Evaluation Conference, to support the amount of attorney's fees and costs claimed for *in camera* review.

Request for Continuance or to Vacate ENE date

Absent extraordinary circumstances, a request to continue the ENE will <u>not</u> be
considered *unless* submitted *via a joint motion to continue the date* no less than fourteen days
prior to the scheduled conference.

28

24

Any request to continue the conference or request for relief from any of the provisions or requirements of this Order must be sought by a written ex parte application. The application must 3 be supported by a declaration of counsel setting forth the reasons and justifications for the relief requested; confirm compliance with Civil Local Rule 26.1; and, report the position of opposing 4 5 counsel or any unrepresented parties subject to the Order.

If the case is settled in its entirety before the scheduled date of the conference, counsel and any unrepresented parties must still appear in person, unless a joint motion to vacate a conference date due to the complete settlement of the case is submitted no less than 24 hours before the scheduled conference.

10

22

23

24

1

2

6

7

8

9

Mandatory Appearance Requirements

11 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16.1(c) of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, all counsel and each party, in addition to any other 12 person(s) who has full and unlimited authority¹ to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement 13 shall appear *in person* at the conference and shall be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses 14 15 and damages. Governmental entities may appear through litigation counsel only. As to all 16 other parties, appearance by litigation counsel only is *not* acceptable. The parties must be prepared to present demands and offers of settlement. The failure of any counsel, party or 17 18 authorized person subject to this order to appear in person or to otherwise comply with the orders herein, will result in the immediate imposition of sanctions. Sanctions will include, but 19 20 will not be limited to, the attorneys' fees and travel costs of the other parties in the case. In addition, 21 the conference will not proceed and will be reset to another date.

Future Proceedings

¹ "Full authority to settle" means that the individuals at the settlement conference be authorized 25 to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648 (7th Cir. 1989). The person needs to have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of a party. <u>Pitman</u> <u>v. Brinker Int.'1, Inc.</u>, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose of requiring a person with 26 27 unlimited settlement authority to attend the conference includes that the persons view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Pitman at 486. A limited or a sum certain of authority is not 28 adequate. Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590 (8th Cir. 2001).

In the event the case does not settle at the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference, the parties
shall also be prepared to discuss the following matters at the conclusion of the conference:

3	1. Any anticipated objections under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)(E)					
4	to the initial disclosure provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure					
5	26(a)(1)(A-D);					
6	2. The scheduling of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) conference;					
7	3. The date of initial disclosure and the date for lodging the discovery plan					
8	following the Rule 26(f) conference; and,					
9	4. The scheduling of a Case Management Conference pursuant to Federal Rule					
10	of Civil Procedure 16(b).					
11	The Court will issue an appropriate order addressing these issues and setting dates as					
12	appropriate. Questions regarding this case may be directed to the Judge's chambers at (619) 557-					
13	3446.					
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
15						
16	DATED: September 28, 2007					
17	Hon. Anthony J. Battagha					
18	U.S. Magistrate Judge United States District Court					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24 25						
25 26						
26 27						
27 28						
20						