

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PACIFIC ROLLFORMING, LLC,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
TRAKLOC INTERNATIONAL, LLC, *et al.*  
Defendants.  

---

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  

---

Civil No. 07cv1897-L(JMA)  
**ORDER DENYING APPROVAL OF  
REQUEST FOR SUBSTITUTION OF  
COUNSEL**

On January 14, 2009 Defendant Pandora Select Partners, L.P. (“Pandora”) filed a Request for substitution of counsel, seeking to replace the law firm of Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP (“Gibson Dunn”) with the law firm of Wilson Petty Kosmo & Turner LLP (“Wilson Petty”). Request for approval is **DENIED** because Gibson Dunn is not counsel of record for Pandora in this case.

Pandora is represented by a Minneapolis, Minnesota law firm of Anthony Oslund Baer Louwagie & Ross P.A. (“Anthony Oslund”). Anthony Oslund submitted a *pro hac vice* application pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.3(c) and designated Gibson Dunn’s Irvine, California office as local counsel. The *pro hac vice* application was denied because the designated local counsel was not local to this district. *See* Civ. Loc. R. 83.3(c)(5) & (6). Accordingly, Gibson Dunn is not Pandora’s counsel of record in this case. If Anthony Oslund

1 wishes to designate Wilson Petty as its local counsel, it may do so by resubmitting a *pro hac vice*  
2 application.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4  
5 DATED: January 15, 2009

6  
7   
8 M. James Lorenz  
9 United States District Court Judge

10 COPY TO:

11  
12 HON. JAN M. ADLER  
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

14 ALL PARTIES/COUNSEL  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28