		•	سبيه مذا	house husel	
1	ADVOCATES FOR FAITH AND FREEDO	M 07 8)CT -2	PM 2: 11	
2	Robert H. Tyler, CA Bar No. 179572 Jennifer L. Monk, CA Bar No. 245512				
3	24910 Las Brisas Road, Suite 110	SÖÜTHERN	DISTRICT	RICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
	Murrieta, CA 92562	av. 01	,		
4	Telephone: (951) 304-7583 Facsimile: (951) 600-4996	BY:		DEPUTY	
5	jmonk@faith-freedom.com				
6	rtyler@faith-freedom.com				
7	AMERICAN CENTER FOR LAW & JUSTIF Francis J. Manion, KY Bar No. 89063	CE			
8	Geoffrey R. Surtees, KY Bar No. 85594 6375 New Hope Road New Hope, KY 40052				
9	Telephone: (502) 549-7020				
10	Facsimile: (502) 549-5252 fmanion@aol.com				
11	gsurtees@aclj.org				
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
13	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH				
14	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRIC	r cou	RT	
	FOR THE SOUTHERN				
15	FOR THE SOUTHERN	DISTRICT	Dr CA	DIFUNIA	
	FOR THE SOUTHERN				(I CD)
15 16 17	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R.	Case No.: 7		1926 LAB	(LSP)
16 17	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R.) SMITH;)	Case No.: 7 ((LSP)
16 17 18	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R.	Case No.: 7			(LSP)
16 17	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R.) SMITH;)	Case No.: 7 ((LSP)
16 17 18 19 20	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH; Plaintiff, vs. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,) COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO;	Case No.: Dept: TBA Judge: TBA	CV T FOR		' AND
16 17 18 19	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH; Plaintiff, vs. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; MICHAEL RODDY, individually and in	Case No.: Dept: TBA Judge: TBA	CV T FOR	1926LAB	' AND
16 17 18 19 20	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH; Plaintiff, vs. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,) COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; MICHAEL RODDY, individually and in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California,	Case No.: Dept: TBA Judge: TBA COMPLAIN INJUNCTIV	CV T FOR	1926LAB	' AND
16 17 18 19 20 21	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH; Plaintiff, vs. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,) COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; MICHAEL RODDY, individually and in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego; and DOES 1	Case No.: Dept: TBA Judge: TBA COMPLAIN INJUNCTIV DAMAGES	CV T FOR E REL	1926 LAB DECLARATORY IEF AND NOMIN	' AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH; Plaintiff, vs. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,) COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; MICHAEL RODDY, individually and in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California,	Case No.: Dept: TBA Judge: TBA COMPLAIN INJUNCTIV DAMAGES Filing Date:	CV T FOR E REL	1926 LAB DECLARATORY IEF AND NOMIN	' AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH; Plaintiff, vs. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,) COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; MICHAEL RODDY, individually and in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego; and DOES 1	Case No.: Dept: TBA Judge: TBA COMPLAIN INJUNCTIV DAMAGES Filing Date:	CV T FOR E REL	1926 LAB DECLARATORY IEF AND NOMIN	' AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH; Plaintiff, vs. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; MICHAEL RODDY, individually and in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego; and DOES 1 through 20 inclusive,	Case No.: Dept: TBA Judge: TBA COMPLAIN INJUNCTIV DAMAGES Filing Date:	CV T FOR E REL	1926 LAB DECLARATORY IEF AND NOMIN	' AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH; Plaintiff, vs. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; MICHAEL RODDY, individually and in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego; and DOES 1 through 20 inclusive,	Case No.: Dept: TBA Judge: TBA COMPLAIN INJUNCTIV DAMAGES Filing Date:	CV T FOR E REL	1926 LAB DECLARATORY IEF AND NOMIN	' AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH; Plaintiff, vs. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; MICHAEL RODDY, individually and in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego; and DOES 1 through 20 inclusive,	Case No.: Dept: TBA Judge: TBA COMPLAIN INJUNCTIV DAMAGES Filing Date:	CV T FOR E REL	1926 LAB DECLARATORY IEF AND NOMIN	' AND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	MINDY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH; Plaintiff, vs. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; MICHAEL RODDY, individually and in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego; and DOES 1 through 20 inclusive,	Case No.: Dept: TBA Judge: TBA COMPLAIN INJUNCTIV DAMAGES Filing Date:	CV T FOR E REL	1926 LAB DECLARATORY IEF AND NOMIN	' AND

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs, Mindy Barlow and Dalia R. Smith, sue Defendants for violating their federal and state constitutional rights by denying them access to court facilities in order to hold a weekly Bible study.

JURISDICTION

- 1. This civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 raises federal claims under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and state claims under Article 1, §§ 2, 4, and 7 of the California Constitution.
- 2. This Court has original jurisdiction over the federal law claims by operation of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 and has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. This Court has authority to issue the requested injunctive relief under 28 U.S.C. § 1343; the requested declaratory relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02; the requested damages under 28 U.S.C. § 1343; and costs and attorneys' fees under 42 U.S.C. § 1988(b) and under Cal. C.C.P. §1021.5.

VENUE

4. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred within the District.

IDENTIFICATION OF THE PLAINTIFFS

- Mindy Barlow is a court reporter employed by the Superior Court of California,
 County of San Diego division. At all times relevant to this action, she has been a resident of La
 Mesa, San Diego County, California.
- 6. Dalia R. Smith is a court reporter employed by the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego division. At all times relevant to this action, she has been a resident of Murrieta, Riverside County, California.

///

IDENTIFICATION OF THE DEFENDANTS

- 7. Superior Court of California, County of San Diego is a division of the government of the State of California.
- 8. Michael Roddy is the Executive Officer of the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego and is sued in his individual and official capacity.
- 9. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, partnership, associate or otherwise, of defendants Does 1 through 20 inclusive, and each of them, are unknown to the Plaintiffs who, therefore, sue them by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this Complaint to show the true names and capacities of Does 1 through 20 when they have discovered them. Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, all of the Defendants acted or participated in some manner in the acts alleged herein, and in some way caused and are responsible for Plaintiffs' damages. All references to the named Defendants shall include, without limitation, Does 1 through 20 inclusive.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Initial Use of Courthouse Facilities For A Bible Study

- 10. Sometime during the year 2000, Steve Thunberg, then Executive Director of the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, gave permission to Dodie Sandoval, a clerk to Judge LaVoy, to hold a Bible study over the lunch hour in an available jury room or courtroom.
- 11. This Bible study met regularly in an available jury room during the lunch hour each week between the year 2000 and April of 2006. Plaintiffs are and were participants of this Bible study.
- 12. Sometime in the month of April, 2006, a Deputy Sheriff informed Plaintiff Barlow that individuals without keycard access were not allowed entrance to the back hallways where the jury rooms are located. Members of the Bible study then inquired about the use of a courtroom, and were informed by Court administration that Court policy did not allow Bible studies in courthouse facilities because of a concern regarding the "separation of church and state."
 - 13. The Bible study has not reconvened in any jury or court room since.

The Development of the Court's "Policy"

- 14. In May, 2006, Plaintiff Barlow received an email from then Assistant Executive Officer Ray Sorensen ("Sorenson"), again informing her that having the Bible study meet in any court room was contrary to "Court Policy."
- 15. Upon a search of the Personnel Rules of the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Plaintiff Barlow found no policy prohibiting the Bible study from meeting as of May 30, 2006.
- 16. On June 2, 2006, Sorensen approached Plaintiff Barlow in her courtroom and informed her that the Legal Department was looking into the issue in depth.
- 17. Several months later, on September 29, 2006, Sorensen emailed Plaintiff Barlow to let her know that the Court was in the process of writing a policy that would cover the issue of "nonbusiness use" of the court facilities. A copy of the Court policy is attached as Exhibit "A".
- 18. On November 13, 2006, the San Diego Superior Courts adopted Administrative Policy, Use of Court Facilities ("AP") 4.6.
- 19. Under AP 4.6.II.B, court employees are permitted to use "court facilities" (including "any open room, chambers, or area within a building in which court business is conducted") for celebrations of "personal milestones commonly celebrated in the workplace such as birthday parties, baby or wedding showers, and retirement celebrations..."
- 20. Under AP 4.6.II.C, anyone seeking to use a "court facility" for any other purpose must be granted permission after submitting a written request.
- 21. Under AP 4.6.II.C.1, all such requests are evaluated by the Assistant Executive Officer according to the following factors:
 - (a) Protection of the integrity of the judicial process, including public trust and confidence in the impartiality, lack of bias or discrimination, and fairness of the judicial system;
 - (b) Safety and security of the people and property within the courthouse and its perimeter;
 - (c) Whether the program or service advances the administration of justice and is useful to a significant number of litigants;
 - (d) Whether the program imposes any potential costs or liability on the court;

- (e) Whether the program or service offered is conducted for profit; and
- (f) Whether constitutional, statutory, or other legal requirements prohibit the court from granting use of its facilities.
- 22. Nothing in AP 4.6 actually circumscribes the absolutely "discretionary" (according to 4.6.II.A) approval of the Assistant Executive Officer, as nothing in the evaluative factors will disqualify (or allow) a request of its own accord.

The Court Prohibits the Bible Study under Its Discretionary Policy

- 23. On or around November 13, 2006, Plaintiff Barlow submitted a written request to hold the Bible study during her lunch hour in an open jury room.
- 24. On January 25, 2007, the then new Assistant Executive Officer, Stephen Cascioppo, summoned Plaintiff Barlow into his office and told her that her request had been denied on the grounds of separation of church and state and AP 4.6.II.C.1(f).
- 25. Former Assistant Executive Officer, Stephen Cascioppo, followed up with a written denial letter dated February 1, 2007, that gave no specific reason, but generally referenced AP 4.6 (attached hereto as Exhibit "B").
- 26. On February 26, 2007, Plaintiff Barlow wrote a letter to Stephen Cascioppo requesting reconsideration of the Administration's position (attached hereto as Exhibit "C").
- 27. Stephen Cascioppo responded in a letter dated March 14, 2007 (attached hereto as Exhibit "D"), changing his reasoning as of January 25, 2007, and stating that the reasons for denying the Bible Study use of any courthouse facility (and the reasons against permitting any "religious use of court premises" whatsoever, for that matter) were as follows:
 - a. "First, the premises of the court are not public, and the court does not wish to open them up generally to public activities. This would be required in order to ensure public trust and confidence in the impartiality, lack of bias or discrimination and fairness of the judicial system."
 - b. "Second, the proposed use does not advance the administration of justice, and is not useful to a significant number of litigants. The premises are better preserved for the uses for which the property has been lawfully dedicated."

c. "Finally, the request may impose high potential costs or liability on the court."

The Purpose of the Bible Study

- 28. Approximately twelve court employees regularly attended the Bible Study during the six years of its permitted and unquestioned existence.
- 29. The Bible study provides valuable encouragement and spiritual fulfillment to its participants. The participants believe that gathering together for discussion, Bible study, worship, and fellowship is an important component to their religious beliefs and is a biblical mandate.
- 30. When gathering together, the participants of the Bible study, who gather together of their own volition and without solicitation or advertisement, discuss social, cultural, and political issues from a biblical perspective. Additionally, the participants discuss healthy living, lifestyle choices, and physical, mental, and spiritual health from a biblical perspective.

Use of Courthouse Facilities

- 31. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that, during the time relevant to this action, the Defendants have allowed Weight Watchers to meet weekly in court facilities. Weight Watchers is a public organization and does not advance the administration of justice.
- 32. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that, during the time relevant to this action, the Defendants have allowed the Boy Scouts of America to meet occasionally in court facilities. The Boy Scouts of America is both a public organization and does not advance the administration of justice.
- 33. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that, during the time relevant to this action, the Defendants have allowed other organizations to meet or hold non-court related events within court facilities.
- 34. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that, during the time relevant to this action, the Defendants have allowed members of the public, as well as employees, to participate and hold events within the court facilities.

ALLEGATIONS OF LAW

35. All alleged acts of the Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, or persons acting at their behest or direction, were done and are continuing to be done under the color

and pretense of state law, including the statutes, regulations, customs, policies and usages of the State of California.

- 36. Unless and until the enforcement of the Defendant's current Policy is enjoined, the Plaintiffs will continue to suffer irreparable harm to their federal and state constitutional rights.
- 37. The irreparable harm to the Plaintiffs' constitutional rights is a direct result of a policy, ordinance, regulation, or decision officially adopted and promulgated by the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

- I. FIRST CLAIM: VIOLATION OF FREE SPEECH RIGHTS UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.
- 38. The Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate herein by reference each foregoing paragraph and further allege as follows:
- 39. The Defendants intentionally made court facilities generally available to the public, or a large segment of the public, and to employees for a broad range of expressive conduct that is fully protected by the First Amendment.
- 40. The use of the courthouse facilities for expressive speech and free association for First Amendment purposes is an important government benefit.
 - 41. AP 4.6 and Defendants' enforcement thereof:
 - a. singles out religious speech for discriminatory treatment;
 - b. conditions access to generally available public facilities on the content of the applicant's speech;
 - c. discriminates against speech on the basis of the speaker's viewpoint;
 - d. fails to articulate sufficiently clear standards and is therefore unconstitutionally vague;
 - e. restrains constitutionally-protected speech in advance of its expression, with virtually no guidelines or standards to guide the discretion of courthouse officials charged with enforcing the policy;
 - f. fails to provide any time restrictions for approving or denying a request to use court facilities, or guaranteeing an applicant prompt judicial review; and

- g. chills free speech of religious groups and organizations subject to the facility use policies.
- 42. The Defendants have no compelling reason that would justify their prohibition of Bible study participants from accessing court facilities generally available to the public and court employees solely on the basis of the religious beliefs, speech, and conduct of the Bible study and its participants.
- 43. The Superior Court of California, County of San Diego's AP 4.6 and the Defendants' enforcement thereof therefore violate the Free Speech Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the equitable and legal relief set forth in the prayer for relief.

II. SECOND CLAIM: VIOLATION OF THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

- 44. The Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate herein by reference each foregoing paragraph and further allege as follows:
- 45. The Defendants' AP 4.6 is neither facially neutral nor generally applicable in respect to religion.
- 46. The Defendants' enforcement of AP 4.6 selectively imposes a burden on access to court facilities generally available to the public and court employees based upon the religious or non-religious nature of the applicant's beliefs, speech, and conduct.
- 47. The Defendants have no rational or compelling reason to prohibit the Bible study participants, or any other religious organization or group, from having access to court facilities solely on the basis of the group's religious beliefs, speech, and conduct.
- 48. AP 4.6 and the Defendants' enforcement thereof therefore violate the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution as incorporated and applied to the states under the Fourteenth Amendment.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the equitable and legal relief set forth in the prayer for relief.

III. THIRD CLAIM: VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

- 49. The Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate herein by reference each foregoing paragraph and further allege as follows:
- 50. Under AP 4.6 and generally, Defendants have allowed other groups and organizations to meet and/or hold events in court facilities generally available to the public and court employees, while not allowing the Bible study and its participants, the Plaintiffs, to use court facilities.
- 51. The Defendants' enforcement of AP 4.6 treats the Church disparately when compared to other similarly-situated non-religious entities.
- 52. Defendants have no rational or compelling reason that would justify their policy prohibiting the Bible study and its participants from accessing court facilities solely on the basis of the religious beliefs, speech, and conduct of the Bible study and its participants.
- 53. AP 4.6 and the Defendants' enforcement thereof therefore violate the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the equitable and legal relief set forth in the prayer for relief.

IV. FOURTH CLAIM: VIOLATION OF THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

- 54. The Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 31 of this Complaint and further allege as follows:
- 55. AP 4.6 is vague and lacks sufficient objective standards to cabin the discretion of the Assistant Executive Officer, which allows Defendants to enforce the policy in an *ad hoc* and discriminatory manner.
- 56. The Defendants have no rational or compelling reason that would justify their policy prohibiting Plaintiffs use of court facilities while allowing other secular groups and organizations to use the court facilities. Further, the Defendants have no rational or compelling reason that would justify their policy prohibiting Plaintiffs use of court facilities where the denial

is based solely on the basis of the religious beliefs, speech, and conduct of the Bible study and its participants.

57. AP 4.6 and the Defendants' enforcement thereof therefore violate the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the equitable and legal relief set forth hereinafter in the prayer for relief.

V. FIFTH CLAIM: VIOLATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

- 58. The Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate herein by reference each foregoing paragraph and further allege as follows:
- 59. AP 4.6 and the Defendants' enforcement thereof are hostile toward religion and favors irreligion over religion.
- 60. AP 4.6 and the Defendants' enforcement thereof treat the Bible study and its participants as second-class citizens of the community because of their protected religious expression, beliefs, and conduct.
- 61. The Defendants' denial of equal access to an important government benefit in the form of access to this forum conveys a governmental message that the Bible study and its members are outsiders and not full members of the community.
- 62. The Defendants therefore violate the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution as incorporated and applied to the states under the Fourteenth Amendment.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the equitable and legal relief set forth hereinafter in the prayer for relief.

VI. SIXTH CLAIM: VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH UNDER THE CALIFORNIA STATE CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1, § 2.

- 63. The Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate herein by reference each foregoing paragraph and further allege as follows:
 - 64. AP 4.6 and the Defendants' enforcement thereof discriminate against Plaintiffs'

Complaint

government.

- 69. AP 4.6, as interpreted and enforced by the Defendants, substantially burdens the Bible study participants' free exercise of religion because it:
 - a. singles out religious groups and organizations for discriminatory treatment;
 - b. conditions access to court facilities on whether the applicant intends to engage in religious speech; and
 - c. discriminates against the Plaintiffs on the basis of their viewpoint on civic, moral, social, and other matters.
- 70. The Defendants have no rational or compelling reason that would justify their policy prohibiting Plaintiffs access to court facilities.
- 71. AP 4.6 and the Defendants' enforcement thereof therefore violate the guarantee to the free exercise of religion under the California State Constitution, Article 1, §4.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the equitable and legal relief set forth hereinafter in the prayer for relief.

VIII. EIGHTH CLAIM: VIOLATION OF THE RIGHT OF DUE PROCESS OF LAW AND EQUAL PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW UNDER THE CALIFORNIA STATE CONSTITUTION, ARTICLE 1, §7.

- 72. The Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate herein by reference each foregoing paragraph and further allege as follows:
- 73. AP 4.6 is vague and lacks sufficient objective standards to cabin the discretion of City officials, which allows the Defendants to enforce the policy in an *ad hoc* and discriminatory manner.
- 74. The Defendants have no rational or compelling reason that would justify their policy prohibiting Plaintiffs use of court facilities while allowing other secular groups or organizations to use the court facilities. Further, the Defendants have no rational or compelling reason that would justify their policy prohibiting Plaintiffs use of court facilities where the denial is based solely on the basis of the religious beliefs, speech, and conduct of the Bible study and its participants.
 - 75. AP 4.6 and the Defendants' enforcement thereof therefore violate the California

State Constitution, Article 1, §7.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the equitable and legal relief set forth hereinafter in the prayer for relief.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:

- a. That this Court permanently enjoin the enforcement of AP 4.6 to the extent that it discriminates on the religious content or viewpoint of speech;
- b. That this Court enjoin the enforcement of AP 4.6 to the extent that it prohibits Plaintiffs from using the jury room for a Bible study;
- c. That this Court enter a declaratory judgment stating that AP 4.6 is facially unconstitutional and violates the Plaintiffs' rights as guaranteed under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article 1, §§ 2, 4 and 7 of the California State Constitution;
- d. If AP 4.6 is not declared facially unconstitutional, that this Court enter a declaratory judgment stating that AP 4.6, as applied to the Plaintiffs, is unconstitutional and violates the Plaintiffs' rights guaranteed under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article 1, §§ 2, 4 and 7 of the California State Constitution;
- e. Grant Plaintiffs an award of nominal damages against the defendants;
- f. That this Court award the Plaintiffs' costs and expenses of this action, including a reasonable attorneys fee award, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 1988, Cal. C.C.P. § 1021.5 and other applicable law;
- g. That this Court grant such other and further relief as the Court deems equitable, just, and proper;
- h. That this Court adjudge, decree and declare the rights and other legal relations of the parties to the subject matter here in controversy, in order that such declarations shall have the force and effect of final judgment; and

///

		1
1	i. That this Court retain jurisdiction of	this matter as necessary to enforce the Court's
2	2 orders.	
3	3 DATED: October 2, 2007 ADV	OCATES FOR FAITH AND FREEDOM
4	4	
5	By: $\sqrt{}$	af Mul
6	6 Jeifhi	fer LaMonk
7	11	ney for Plaintiffs DY BARLOW and DALIA R. SMITH
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	 	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	<u>}</u>	•
21		
22		
23][
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	8	

sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS OF	en oy me sumem Contetence	n neither replace nor sup of the United States in S	nnlement	the filing and service of pleaning r 1974, is required for the use of	gs or other papers as required the Clurk of Court for the pure	by law, except as provided by local pose of initiating the civil docket
sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS OF	en oy me sumem Contetence	of the United States in S	pplement September	the filing and service of plending 1974, is required for the use of	gs or other papers as required of the Clurk of Court for the pur	by law, except as provided by local pose of initiating the civil docket
I (a) PLAINTIFFS	N THE SECOND PAGE OF	THIS FORM.)	epienine	TOTAL AND THE PROPERTY OF THE USE OF	The Clurk of Court for the pur	poso of initiating the civil docket
Adrial . Marida			DEFEN	IDANES CALLACTION	ころとことに ケーケ	t
	والمرجود المرابي	10 6 33	ł	DULFOTIUL	LOWIT OF	acifornia, Con
MINION FROM MAN	vand Pali	a K. Sinuth	0	Sunschaffe will	TRICKLE BALLONE	addle and Do
' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		vocably owner to
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE O	CAN	Dieko	1 6	through 120,	INC LUSIVU,	San Dieas
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE (PLAINTIFF	OF FIRST LISTED 72001	1/1000	COUNT	TV OF RESIDENCE OF FIRS	T LISTED DEFENDA O PU SES ONLY)	MOUNT DICOLG
(EXCEPT IN U.S. P.	LAINTIFF CASES)	C. , V		(III CAMP PARTIE THE CAL	ana Oallij ,	
			NOTE	IN LAND CONDEMNATION C	'ASES, USE THE LOCATION	OF THE TRACT OF LAND
			INVOL.	VED	•	
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME			ATTO	RNEYS (IF KNOWN)		
Advocates for Fo						
24910 Las Brisa	s Rd Suite	- 110		107.011	1004	(
Millmeta, CA 921	562	,	1	11/11/	1926 LAB	(LSP)
Telephone: 1951	1304-1583			· · ·	CVD	(20.7
IL BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX	ONLY)	HI, CF	TIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL	PARTIES (PLACE AN X IN	ONE BOX
			(For I)	versity Cases Only)		ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT
HUS, Government Plaintiff	3Federal Question			рт	DEF	PT DEF
	(U.S. Government Not	a Party)	Citizen	of This State	Incorporated or Princi	ipal Place of Business
D 20.8. Government Defendant	Danis, a. r		Citizen	of Another State		
- 20.0, Coveriancia Determini	□4Diversity (Indicate Ci-	tizenship of Parties in	i	u;	2 D2 Incorporated and Prin in Another State	cipal Place of Business Q5 Q5
				or Subject of a Foreign 💢 🗀 :	Barreign Nation	O ₆ O ₆
IV. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE	THE US CAVIL STATUTE	manapayanen yan	Country	•	•	
JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES	UNLESS DIVERSITY).	CANDAR WINCH 1170	ARF, FII	THE VALLEY BRIEK	STATEMENT OF CAUSE, 1	DO NOT CITE
40 11 0 6	Callan 100			6.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		5 14 MEST 12	ام د ما	1 0 6 4 0 1 34	sace sundice be	ricci Broom and well a
"τα W.3.C. ₁	PECTION LAS	カクー Violat	icu	of equal acc	cess under t	irst Amendmen
		bo-Violat	YOU	ot equal acc	cess under t	17st Amendhuan
V. NATURE OF SULT (PLACE /	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)		icvi		`	
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)	DATS PERSONAL INJU		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
V. NATURE OF SUFF (PLACE / CONTRACT	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY	DRTS PERSONAL INJU		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY 12 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT Hallawarance 120 Manne	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)	PRTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY Gla Agriculture A20 Other Food & Drug	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reappointment 410 Autimus
V. NATURE OF SUFT (PLACE / CONTRACT 100 Insurance 120 Monne 130 Miller Act	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY 3 MG Airplanc	PERSONAL INJU		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 421 Withdroost 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reappointment 410 Autituss 430 Banks and Banking
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Menne 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recency of Overpagnicial 150 Recency	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY 3 MG Airplane 3 15 Airplane Product Liability 3 W Assault, Libel & Stander 3 30 Federal Employers*	PERSONAL INJU PERSONAL INJU 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpraence		FORFEITURE/PENALTY 3 610 Agriculture 3 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Science	BANKRUPTCY 1 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 1 421 Withdraus 28 USC 157	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reappointment 410 Autituss 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce-ICC Raice-ice.
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Monne 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recency of Overpayment REnforcement of Judgment	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY 3 16 Airplane 3 15 Airplane Product Liability 3 20 Assault, Label & Stander 3 30 Federal Employers' Liability	PERSONAL INJU 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpraence 10 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 11 368 Asbestos Personal Injury	RY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY G10 Agriculture 1 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USCER1	BANKRUPTCY 1422 Appeal 28 USC 158 1421 Withdrasat 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 1820 Copyrights 1830 Patent 1840 Trademark	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reappointment 410 Autimus 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce-ICC Rates, etc. 460 Deportation
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Menne 131 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment Reinforcement of Indigment 151 Medicare Act	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY MICHIPIANE 316 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine	PERSONAL INJUI 362 Personal fajury Medical Malpraence 265 Personal Injury Preduct Liability 368 Asbestos Personal In Preduct Liability	RY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY G10 Agriculture 1 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 1 630 Liquor Laws 1 640 RR & Truck 1 650 Airline Regs	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 921 Withdroust 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 80CIAL SECURITY	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reappointment 410 Autituss 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce-ICC Raice-ice.
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 100 Insurance 120 Manne 120 Manne 140 Negoliable Instrument 140 Negoliable Instrument 140 Negoliable Instrument 140 Recovery of Octrass ment & Enforcement of Indignated 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student 153 Recovery of Defaulted Student 153 Recovery of Defaulted Student 154 Recovery of Defaulted Student 155 R	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY MO Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assoult, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL INJU D 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpraence D 368 Personal Injury Product Liability D 368 Asbestos Personal In Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE	RY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY G10 Agriculture 1 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 1 630 Liquor Laws 1 640 RR & Truck 1 650 Airline Regs 1 660 Occupational Safety/Health	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 921 Withdroust 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 840 Todomatk 8 OCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (13938)	OTHER STATUTES 440 State Reappointment 440 Antimus 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce ICC Rates, etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Manne 130 Manne 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpagement Reinforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Dyfaulhed Student Latans (Fact, Veterans)	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY MO Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability	PERSONAL INJU DAG Personal Injury Medical Malpraence JAS Personal Injury Product Liability JAS Asbestos Personal In Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE JAG Other Fraud	RY	PORFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Science of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Law, 640 RR & Truck 650 Airline Regs 660 Occupational Safety/Health 660 Other	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdraw 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copy rights 9 840 Forders COLAL SECURITY 861 HIA (13938) 862 Block Lung (923)	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reappointment 410 Antitrus 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce ICC Rates, etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Courage Organizations B10 Selective Service 850 Securities Commodities
V. NATURE OF SUFT (PLACE / CONTRACT 110 Insurance 128 Manne 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpagnent Reinforcement of Judgment 151 Medicase Act 152 Recovery of Overpagnent Lanns (Fact, Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpagnent	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY MO Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL INJU DAG Personal Injury Medical Malpraence JAS Personal Injury Product Liability JAS Asbestor Personal In Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE JAG Other Fraud JAI Touls in Lending	RY	PORFEITURE/PENALTY 640 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Science of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Lawa 640 R & Truck 650 Airling Regs 660 Occupational Safety/Health 680 Other LAUOR	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Appeal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copy right 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (13958) 862 Block Lung (923) 863 DIWC (DIWW (405(g))	OTHER STATUTES 440 State Reappointment 440 Antimus 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce ICC Rateslete 460 Deportation 470 Racketter Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 840 Securities Commodities Exchange
V. NATURE OF SUFT (PLACE / CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Manne 130 Mailer Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment Reinforcement of Indigment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Overpayment Insurance (Fixel, Veterars) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Insurance (Fixel, Veterars)	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY MO Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability	PERSONAL INJU DAG Personal Injury Medical Malpraence JAS Personal Injury Product Liability JAS Asbestos Personal In Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE JAG Other Fraud	RY SRTY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY G10 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Propesty 21 USC/881 G30 Liquor Luw, G40 RR & Truck G50 Octupational Safety/Health G80 Other LAUOR	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reappointment 410 Antitrus 430 Commerce ICC Rates See. 460 Deportation 470 Rocksteer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securites Commodities Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Monne	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY 316 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Label & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL INJUI 362 Personal fajury - Modical Malpracine 363 Personal Injury - Product Liability D 368 Arbestors Personal In Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 376 Other Fraud 371 Taulu in Lending 180 Other Personal Property Damage	RY Buty RTY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure 670 Other Food & Drug 630 Liquor Law, 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airling Regs 660 Occupational Safety/Health 680 Other LABOR 710 Foir Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Appeal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copy right 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (13958) 862 Block Lung (923) 863 DIWC (DIWW (405(g))	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reappointment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce-ICC Rates, etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Courage Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities Commodities Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 891 Agricultural Acts
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 110 Insurance 121 Manne 131 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recency of Occepts ment Referencent of Indignent 151 Medicare Act 152 Recency of Defaulted Student Loans (Fact, Veterars) 153 Recency of Occepts ment of Veterans Benefits 160 Stockholders Suits 190 Other Contract 190 Other Contract	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY 316 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 348 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 358 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL INJUI 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpracine 363 Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Ashestor Personal In Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Taula in Lending 380 Other Personal	RY Buty RTY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY G10 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Propesty 21 USC/881 G30 Liquor Luw, G40 RR & Truck G50 Octupational Safety/Health G80 Other LAUOR	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 431 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copy rights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 80C(AL) SECURITY 861 HIA (13938) 862 Black Lung (923) 864 SSID Title XVI \$63 RSI GUS(a)) PEDERAL TAX SHITS	OTHER STATUTES 440 State Reappointment 410 Antitrus 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce ICC Rates, etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 310 Selective Service 840 Securities Commodities Exchange 873 Customer Challenge 12 USC 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Monne 130 Moller Act 140 Negoliable Instrument 150 Negoliable Instrument 150 Neconcry of Overpay ment & Enforcement of Indignent 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Insurant (fixel, Veterans) 151 Neconcry of Overpay ment of Veterans Henefits 150 Stockholders Suits	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY 316 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Label & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL INALI DAG Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice 10 363 Personal Injury - Product Liability D 368 Ashestors Personal In- Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE D 370 Other Fraud 1 371 Truth in Lending 1 380 Other Personal Property Damage	RY ISSUE ISS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY G10 Agriculture G20 Other Food & Drug G25 Drug Related Science of Property 21 USC#81 G30 Liquor Law, G40 RR & Truck G50 Airline Regs G60 Occupational Safety/Health LAUOR 710Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgm1 Relations 730 Labor/Mgm1 Relations	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 421 Withdroad 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Tridemark 80C(AL SECURITY R61 HIA (13938) 862 Block Long (923) 864 SSID Title XVI	OTHER STATUTES 44th State Reappointment 41th Antitinus 43th Hanks and Banking 45th Commerce ICC Rates, etc. 46th Deportation 47th Racketeer Influenced and Compit Organizations 31th Selective Service 85th Securities Commodities Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Universal Matters
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 100 Insurance 120 Monne 120 Monne 131 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Reforence of Judgment 151 Recovery of Overpayment 152 Recovery of Overpayment 153 Recovery of Overpayment 153 Recovery of Overpayment 154 Recovery of Overpayment 155 Recovery of Overpayment 156 Stockholders Suits 150 Other Contract 150 Contract Product Liability 155 Contract Product Liability 155 Contract 150 Land Condemnation 155 Contract 155 Con	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY MG Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 155 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	PERSONAL INJU DAG Personal Injury Modical Malpracine 10 265 Personal Injury Product Liability D 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending D 180 Other Personal Property Damage 785 Property (Dantage Product Liability PRISONER PETTTE 510 Motions to Vacate S	RY BIY ONS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Science of Property 21 USC/881 630 Liquor Laws 640 RR & Truck 650 Airline Regs 660 Other LAUOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS R30 Copyrights R30 Patent R40 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY R61 HIA (13038) R62 Block Lung (923) R63 DIWC (JIWW (405(g))) R64 SSID Title XVI 863 RSI (405(u)) PEDERAL TAX SHITS A70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff of Defendant) 871 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	OTHER STATUTES 440 State Reappointment 410 Antitrus 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce ICC Rates, etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 310 Selective Service 840 Securities Commodities Exchange 873 Customer Challenge 12 USC 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Monne 131 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Overpayment Action (Excl. Voterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment 154 Medicare Act 155 Recovery of Overpayment 155 Recovery of Overpayment 156 Stockholders Suits 150 Other Contract 150 Contract Product Liability 155 Contract Product Li	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY MG Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Preduct Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGIFTS 441 Voting 442 Employment	PERSONAL INJUI DESCRIPTION OF PERSONAL INJUI A62 Personal Injury - Product Liability D 368 Arbestors Personal In- Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 376 Other Fraud 371 Touth in Lending 180 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property (Januage Product Liability PRISONER PETTIT	RY BIY ONS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure 670 Other Food & Drug 630 Liquor Law, 640 Airline Regs 660 Occupational Safety/Health 680 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgm1 Relations 730 Labor/Mgm1 Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 421 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS R20 Copyrights R30 Patent R40 Tridemark SOCIAL SECURITY R61 HIA (13938) R62 Block Lung (923) R64 SSID Title XVI R63 RSLG05(a)) FEDERAL TAX SHITS 670 Taxes (U.S. Plainiñ of Defendant)	OTHER STATUTES 44th Actitudes 44th Antitudes 44th Antitudes 44th Antitudes 44th Commerce-ICC Rates/see. 44th Deportation 47th Racksteer Influenced and Compil Organizations 810 Selective Service 84th Securities Commodities Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Subilization Act 893 Universal Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Menne	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY MG Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Preduct Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGIFTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/Accommodations	PERSONAL INJU DAG Personal Injury Modical Malpractice 10 363 Personal Injury Product Liability D 368 Asbestos Personal Injury PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Trash in Lending 180 Other Personal Property Damage 785 Property Obstage Product Liability PRISONER PETTTE 310 Motions to Vacate S Habeas Corpus	RY BIY ONS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Science of Property 21 USC/881 630 Liquor Law, 640 RR & Truck 650 Artifice Regs 660 Occupational Safety/Health 680 Other LABOR 720 Labor/Mgm1 Relations 720 Labor/Mgm1 Relations 730 Labor/Mgm1 Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 740 Other Labor Liligation	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS R30 Copyrights R30 Patent R40 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY R61 HIA (13038) R62 Block Lung (923) R63 DIWC (JIWW (405(g))) R64 SSID Title XVI 863 RSI (405(u)) PEDERAL TAX SHITS A70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff of Defendant) 871 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	OTHER STATUTES 44th State Reappointment 41th Antitries 45th Commerce ICC Rates, etc. 46th Deportation 47th Racketeer Influenced and Compil Organizations 810 Selective Service 85th Securities Commodities Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Unavionnental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE / CONTRACT 100 Insurance 120 Morne 120 Morne 120 Miller Act 130 Recency of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 150 Recency of Defaulted Student 140 Regulated Act 157 Recency of Overpayment 157 Recency of Overpayment 158 Recency of Overpayment 159 Recency of Overpayment 159 Recency of Overpayment 159 Recent 159 Other Contract 150 Rent Leate & Riccintant 150 Recent Leate & Riccintant 15	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PPRSONAL INJURY MO Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Preduct Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/Accommodations 444 Weifare	PERSONAL INJU DAG Personal Injury Modical Malpractice Job Personal Injury Product Liability John Chier Fraud Joh Chier Fraud Joh Chier Fraud Joh Chier Personal Property Damage John Modifier Personal Still Modifier Personal Still Modifier Personal Still Modifier Personal Still Modifier Personal Still Modifier Personal Still Modifier Personal	RY BIY ONS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Science of Property 21 USC/881 630 Liquor Law, 640 Airline Regs 660 Occupational Safety/Health C80 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgm1 Relations 730 Labor/Mgm1 Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 740 Other Labor Litigation 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS R30 Copyrights R30 Patent R40 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY R61 HIA (13038) R62 Block Lung (923) R63 DIWC (JIWW (405(g))) R64 SSID Title XVI 863 RSI (405(u)) PEDERAL TAX SHITS A70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff of Defendant) 871 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	OTHER STATUTES 44th Actitudes 44th Antitudes 44th Antitudes 44th Antitudes 44th Commerce-ICC Rates/see. 44th Deportation 47th Racksteer Influenced and Compil Organizations 810 Selective Service 84th Securities Commodities Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Subilization Act 893 Universal Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act
V. NATURE OF SUFT (PLACE / CONTRACT 100 Insurance 120 Monne 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment REnforcement of Indignment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Lasan (fixel, Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits 160 Stockholders Suits 190 Other Contract 190 Contract Product Liabilitie REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Forcetosure 230 Rent Leate & Electriant 240 Tort to Land	AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TO PERSONAL INJURY MG Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Preduct Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGIFTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/Accommodations	PERSONAL INJU DAG Personal Injury Modical Malpractice 10 363 Personal Injury Product Liability D 368 Asbestos Personal Injury PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Trash in Lending 180 Other Personal Property Damage 785 Property Obstage Product Liability PRISONER PETTTE 310 Motions to Vacate S Habeas Corpus	RY BIY ONS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Science of Property 21 USC/881 630 Liquor Law, 640 Airline Regs 660 Occupational Safety/Health C80 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgm1 Relations 730 Labor/Mgm1 Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 740 Other Labor Litigation 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS R30 Copyrights R30 Patent R40 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY R61 HIA (13038) R62 Block Lung (923) R63 DIWC (JIWW (405(g))) R64 SSID Title XVI 863 RSI (405(u)) PEDERAL TAX SHITS A70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff of Defendant) 871 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	OTHER STATUTES 44th Actions 41th Antimus 43th Banks and Banking 45th Commerce ICC Bates/cte. 46th Deportation 47th Racketter Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 85th Securities Commodities Exchange 87th Customer Challenge 12 USC 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Unstromental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 985 Freedom of Information Act 986 Preedom of Information Act 987 Preedom of Information Act

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

143048 - KD

October 02, 2007 14:05:10

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: CIVIL FILING: 07CV1926

Judge..: LARRY A BURNS

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC 2628

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: CIVIL FILING

BARLOW, ET AL V. SUPERIOR CT.

ET AL