NOTICE OF SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE AND SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE

Calse 3:07-cv-02045-BTM-JMA Document 7 Filed 11/02/2007

Vanginderen v. Cornell University

Doc. 7

Page 1 of 3

TO DEFENDANT AND HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 21, 2007, at 11 a.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, Courtroom 15, located at 940 Front Street, San Diego, California 92101, defendant Cornell University ("Cornell") will and hereby does move for an order striking the Complaint of plaintiff Kevin Vanginderen ("Plaintiff") in its entirety, with prejudice and without leave to amend, and, further awarding Cornell its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in bringing this special motion to strike.

This special motion to strike will be and is made upon the following grounds.

Plaintiff filed this action after discovering in the electronic archives of the Cornell University Library a 24-year-old report in the *Cornell Chronicle* that described Plaintiff's criminal activities as a Cornell undergraduate. Plaintiff alleges that the news account is libelous and reflects public disclosure of private facts.

The Complaint is indisputably a "strategic lawsuit against public participation" ("SLAPP") that is barred by the anti-SLAPP statute, California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16. The Complaint's allegations arise entirely out of Cornell's actions in furtherance of the right to engage in "conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the . . . constitutional right of free speech in connection with a public issue or an issue of public interest." Cal. Code Civ. Pro. § 425.16(e)(4).

Because the Complaint is a SLAPP lawsuit, Section 425.16(b)(1) and relevant Ninth Circuit authorities require that the Complaint be stricken unless Plaintiff makes a prima facie showing that his claims are legally and factually sufficient. Plaintiff cannot show either because, as set forth in detail in Cornell's accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the *Cornell Chronicle* report on Plaintiff's criminal activities is fair and true and any claim would be time-barred. A simple examination of the records of the Ithaca City Court, attached as Exhibit A

1 to the concurrently filed Request for Judicial Notice, demonstrates the truth of the news account of the criminal proceedings. 3 As the Complaint is a SLAPP lawsuit unsupported by law or fact, Cornell is entitled to recover from Plaintiff Cornell's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs 4 incurred in bringing this special motion to strike, pursuant to California Code of 5 6 Civil Procedure § 425.16(c) and relevant Ninth Circuit authorities. 7 This special motion to strike will be and is based upon this Notice, the 8 accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the accompanying Request 9 for Judicial Notice and exhibits attached thereto, the Declarations of Valerie Cross 10 Dorn and Anne Richardson Kenney, the records and files in this action and upon 11 such further oral and documentary evidence as may be presented at or before the 12 hearing on this special motion to strike. 13 14 DATED: November 2, 2007 BERT H. DEIXLER 15 JFFORD S. DAVIDSON PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 16 NELSON E. ROTH 17 CORNELL UNIVERSITY 18 19 s/Bert H. Deixler Bert H. Deixler 20 Attorneys for Defendant, 21 CORNELL UNIVERSITY 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 2