Brooks v. Clark et al Doc. 6

1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 ERNEST J. BROOKS, III, Civil No. 08cv0125-JM (BLM) 10 Petitioner, ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION 11 TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS KEN CLARK, Warden, et al., 12 Respondents. 13 14 On January 22, 2008, Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, submitted a Petition 15 for a Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Because Petitioner did not pay the 16 filing fee or move to proceed in forma pauperis, the Court dismissed the action without prejudice 17 for failing to satisfy the filing fee requirement. 18 Petitioner has now filed a Motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. (Doc. No. 4.) 19 Petitioner has no funds on account at the California correctional institution in which he is 20 presently confined. Petitioner cannot afford the \$5.00 filing fee. Thus, the Court **GRANTS** 21 Petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis, and allows Petitioner to prosecute the 22 above-referenced action as a poor person without being required to prepay fees or costs and 23 without being required to post security. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 **DATED:** March 17, 2008 26 27 United States District Judge ALL PARTIES Copies to: 28