UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES



08 ASC Spide fold 10: 29 Clerk's Office File Stamp

TO:		THE WORK MAN WITE MAN
FRO	U. S. DISTRIC M: <u>K. Hammerly,</u>	T JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE NIOSKOWITZ Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/5/2008
CAS	E NO.: 08cv736	DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Vanginderen
CAS	E TITLE: Vangin	leren v. Cornell University, et al
DOC	CUMENT ENTITLE	D: Memo of P and A in Oppsition to Defts' Motions
	Upon the subm	ssion of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:
√ I	Local Rule	Discrepancy
	5.1 5.3 5.4 7.1 or 47.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued. Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
X	7.1 or 47.1 7.1 or 47.1 7.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions Missing table of contents
_	15.1 30.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself Depositions not accepted absent a court order Supplemental documents require court order Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
		OTHER: Date forwarded: 8/5/2008
IT IS	HEREBY ORDER	ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE ED:
	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
	Rejected document	to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF:	
	Dated: 8 / 24/cc: All Parties	By:
	K-\COMMON\FORMS\CI\	CRM35 WPD

Form # Civ/Crim 35 (4/98) -- [Document Discrepancy / Court Order]