

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 CHARLES W. BRADY,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 J. VALENZUELA, et. al.,

14 Defendants.


Civil No. 08cv0973 JAH (BLM)

**ORDER DISMISSING
COMPLAINT FOR WANT OF
PROSECUTION**

[Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(m); CivLR 41.1]

15 On June 16, 2010, this Court issued an order directing plaintiff to file a brief with
16 the Court, not later than July 16, 2010, showing cause why this action should not be
17 dismissed for want of prosecution based on plaintiff's failure to affect service upon
18 defendants within 120 days of the filing of the complaint as required under Rule 4(m) of
19 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and on plaintiff's failure to take any action in this
20 case for more than six months as required by Civil Local Rule 41.1. *See* Doc. # 23. To
21 date, no brief has been filed nor has any other action been taken to further prosecute this
22 case. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is **DISMISSED without**
23 **prejudice** for want of prosecution. The Clerk of Court shall terminate this case.

24 Dated: October 25, 2010

25
26 
JOHN A. HOUSTON
United States District Judge
27
28