Buchanan v. Garza et al Doc. 112 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 WHITTIER BUCHANAN. Civil Case No. 08cv1290 BTM (WVG) 11 CDCR #K-02554, Plaintiff. 12 ORDER PROVIDING NOTICE TO PRO SE PRISONER OF 13 REQUIREMENTS FOR VS. **OPPOSING SUMMARY** 14 JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO KLINGELE / RAND 15 EDUARDO GARZA: LUI FUGA: ROY AND SETTING BRIEFING BAKER: RICARDO LIMON: ANGEL **SCHEDULE** 16 SALCEDO; HODGE, 17 Defendants. 18 This notice is required to be given to Plaintiff pursuant to Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 19 952 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc) and *Klingele v. Eikenberry*, 849 F.2d 409 (9th Cir. 1988):¹ 20 Plaintiff has filed a Motion for Summary Judgment pursuant to FED.R.CIV.P. 56. In turn, 21 Defendants Baker, Fuga, Garza, Hodge, Limon and Salcedo have filed a partial Motion for 22 Summary Judgment pursuant to FED.R.CIV.P. 56, by which they seek to have a portion of your 23 case dismissed. A Motion for Summary Judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil 24 Procedure will, if granted, end your case. 25 /// 26 27 ¹ Klingele and Rand together require the district court "as a bare minimum," to ensure that a pro 28 se prisoner has "fair notice of the requirements of the summary judgment rule." Klingele, 849 F.2d at 411 (quotations omitted); Rand, 154 F.3d at 962. - 1 -08cv1290 BTM (WVG)

Dockets.Justia.com

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a Motion for Summary Judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact—that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, and the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided by Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants' declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

Conclusion and Order

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that

Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendants' Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment has been calendared for hearing on **Friday, December 9, 2011**, in Courtroom 15.² Plaintiff's Opposition (including any supporting documents) to Defendants' Motion must be filed with the Court and served on all parties by **Friday, November 25, 2011.** Defendants have already filed an Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion. If you do not wish to oppose Defendants' Motion, you should file and serve a "Notice of Non-Opposition" by that same date to let both the Court and Defendants know that the Motion is unopposed. All parties must file their Reply to the respective Oppositions, if any, by **Friday, December 2, 2011.**

At the time appointed for hearing, the Court will, in its discretion, consider Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment pursuant to FED.R.CIV.P. 56 as submitted on the papers, and will issue its written opinion soon thereafter. *See* S.D.CAL.CIVLR 7.1(d)(1). Thus, unless otherwise

² While this matter was referred to Magistrate Judge William V. Gallo, for disposition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and S.D. CAL. CIVLR 72.3, the Court has determined that a Report and Recommendation regarding the pending dispositive motions is unnecessary. *See* S.D. CAL. CIVLR 72.3(a).

ordered, no appearances are required and no oral argument will be heard. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: October 6, 2011 HON. BARRY TED MOSKOWIT United States District Judge