2 3 4 5	LYNNE C. HERMLE (STATE BAR NO. 997) JOSEPH C. LIBURT (STATE BAR NO. 155) JESSICA R. PERRY (STATE BAR NO. 2093) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LI 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: 650-614-7400 Facsimile: 650-614-7401 Ichermle@orrick.com jliburt@orrick.com jperry@orrick.com Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.	321)	
9	v remove ser	ATES DISTRICT COLIRT	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12		CASE NO. 08 CV 1410 JM POR	
13	DAVID WALSH, an individual, on behalf of himself, and on behalf of all persons	JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF	
14	similarly situated, Plaintiff,	TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
15	·		
16	v. APPLE INC.; and DOES 1-10,		
17	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. Jeffrey T. Miller	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26 27			
28			
20	OHS West:260498578.1	JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT (CASE NO. 08 CV 1410 JM POR)	

F-720

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

111

111

///

18

19

20

21

22

25

28

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple") and Plaintiff David Walsh hereby move for an extension of time and respectfully request that the Court grant a fourteen (14) day extension and set a date of September 11, 2008, for Apple to respond to the Complaint. Good cause exists to grant this motion on the following grounds:

- No previous applications for an extension of time has been made; 1.
- Apple recently retained counsel in this action, and counsel needs a brief extension 2. of time to familiarize themselves with the facts and prepare a responsive pleading;
 - Counsel for Plaintiff has agreed to the requested extension of time; 3.
- The parties agree that they will not be prejudiced by the Court's issuing an Order 4. approving this Joint Motion;
- The requested extension will not impact any trial or other deadlines in the case; 5. and
- The parties are not aware of any exigent circumstances attendant with this 6. proceeding that would justify the Court withholding its approval of this Joint Motion.

23

24

26

27

OHS West:260498578.1

THE PARTIES HEREBY JOINTLY MOVE that Apple be granted a fourteen (14) day extension to file a response to the Complaint, making Apple's new deadline to respond to the Complaint on September 11, 2008. Dated: August 26, 2008 LYNNE C. HERMLE JOSEPH C. LIBURT JESSICA R. PERRY ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP Jessica R. Perry Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc. Dated: August 26, 2008 NORMAN B. BLUMENTHAL KYLE R. NORDREHAUG APARAJIT BHOWMIK BLUMENTHAL & NORDREHAUG WALTER HAINES UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP Norman B. Blumenthal Attorneys for Plaintiff David Walsh

Ţ

1	YNNE C. HERMLE (STATE BAR NO. 99779)		
<u>, </u>	OSEPH C. LIBURT (STATE BAR NO. 133307)		
- ∦ -	DRRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP		
	Menlo Park, CA 94025 Felephone: 650-614-7400		
Ĭ.	Facsimile: 650-614-7401		
_	chermle@orrick.com		
_ 1	iperry@orrick.com		
7	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	DAVID WALSH, an individual, on behalf	CASE NO. 08 CV 1410 JM POR	
13	of himself, and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING JOINT	
14	Plaintiff,	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
15	· V.		
16	APPLE INC.; and DOES 1-10,		
17	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. Jeffrey T. Miller	
18	17010Hamas		
19			
20	Pursuant to the joint motion and stipulation by and between Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple") and		
21	Plaintiff David Walsh, and good cause appearing therefor, the time in which Apple is required to		
22	respond to the Complaint is hereby extended until September 11, 2008.		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24		·	
25	Dated:		
26			
27		The Chate Distint Court Indee	
28		United States District Court Judge	
20	OHS West:260498935.1	ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME	