1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHARLES YIP; SILVIA QUINTERO; AGUSTIN SOTO; ANA IBARRA;	Civil No. 08cv1453-MMA (BGS)
12	GILBERTO GALLARDO; JOSE; SANCHEZ; MARCO IBARRA; NANCY BROWNING;	
13	RICARDO QUINTERO; VALENTE GODINA; and the class they seek to represent,	
14	Plaintiffs,	
15	V.	ORDER ON JOINT MOTION; ALLOWING DISCOVERY TO
16	BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE SAN	COMMENCE
17	DIEGO UNITEHERE PENSION FUND; ALLIED ADMINISTRATORS; JEFF EACHTEL; and DOES 1 to 50,	[Doc. No. 90.]
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	Following the Case Management Conference the Court entertained further briefing	
21	from the parties regarding their respective positions on the permissible scope of discovery outside	
22	of the Administrative Record. Although the parties agree that an abuse of discretion standard	
23	should apply in this case, they do not agree on whether any extra-record discovery or evidence	
24	will be permitted. Because Plaintiffs allege that the plan administrators failed to adhere to the	
25	procedural dictates of ERISA as well as the plan, Plaintiffs are essentially alleging that the	
26	administrators failed to exercise discretion. In such situations, the court may determine that	
27	evidence beyond that contained in the administrative record is necessary to determine whether	
28	"procedural irregularities are so substantial as to alter the standard of review." See Abatie v. Alta	

1	Health & Life Ins. Co., 458 F.3d 955, 971 (9th Cir. 2006). Furthermore, if the district court	
2	ultimately determines that procedural irregularities affected the administrative review, the court	
3	may decide to take additional evidence. Accordingly, Plaintiffs must be permitted to propound	
4	narrowly tailored discovery so that the court can ultimately decide what, if any, additional	
5	evidence will be considered. However, "such discovery must be narrowly tailored and cannot be a	
6	fishing expedition." Groom v. Standard Ins. Co., 492 F. Supp.2d 1202, 1205 (C.D. Cal. 2007).	
7	Defendants will be allowed to object to the requests at the appropriate time.	
8		
9	DATED: December 3, 2010	
10	3 solum	
11	Hon. Bernard G. Skomal U.S. Magistrate Judge	
12	United States District Court	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
23 26		
20		
28		
_0		
	2 08cv1453-MMA	