

08cv1803

constitutional claims or that jurists could conclude the issues presented are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003); see also Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). The Court must either (1) grant the certificate of appealability indicating which issues satisfy the required showing or (2) state why a certificate should not issue. Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). The Petition raised three legal issues, none of which merit certificate of appealability. The Court finds that reasonable jurists would agree that the California conclusions were neither contrary to nor an unreasonable application of clearly established federal law. Accordingly, the Court DENIES certificate of appealability in this case. DATED: April 1, 2010 ole Janis L. Sammartino United States District Judge