1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 PETRA PELAYO, Case No. 08-CV-2030 IEG (POR) Plaintiff, 11 **ORDER:** (1) GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S EX 12 PARTE REQUEST FOR ORDER VS. TO SERVE DEFENDANT HOME 13 CAPITAL FUNDING THROUGH THE CALIFORNIA SECRETARY 14 OF STATE; and 15 HOME CAPITAL FUNDING; YOUR (2) REQUIRING SERVICE OF 16 MONEY STORE; EMC MORTGAGE PROCESS TO BE MADE UPON CORPORATION; 2721 WARDLOW **DEFENDANT HOME CAPITAL** 17 AVENUE, SAN DIEGO 92154 and all other FUNDING PURSUANT TO CAL. claimants of whatsoever kind and character **CORP. CODE § 1702.** against real property commonly known; and 18 DOES 1 through 100 inclusive, 19 Defendants. 20 21 On June 1, 2009, Plaintiff filed her Ex Parte Request for Order to Serve Defendant Home Capital Funding ["HCF"] Through the California Secretary of State. (Doc. No. 28.) Plaintiff contends 22 23 she has made diligent efforts to serve HCF at its place of business and through its authorized agent 24 for service of process, but has been unsuccessful. Pursuant to Cal. Corp. Code § 1702, 25 If an agent for the purpose of service of process has resigned and has not been replaced or if the agent designated cannot with reasonable diligence be found at the address designated for personally delivering 26 the process, or if no agent has been designated, and it is shown by affidavit to the satisfaction of the court that process against a domestic 27 corporation cannot be served with reasonable diligence upon the 28 designated agent by hand in the manner provided in Section 415.10, subdivision (a) of Section 415.20 or subdivision (a) of Section 415.30

- 1 - 08cy2030

of the Code of Civil Procedure or upon the corporation in the manner provided in subdivision (a), (b) or (c) of Section 416.10 or subdivision (a) of Section 416.20 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the court may make an order that the service be made upon the corporation by delivering by hand to the Secretary of State, or to any person employed in the Secretary of State's office in the capacity of assistant or deputy, one copy of the process for each defendant to be served, together with a copy of the order authorizing such service. Service in this manner is deemed complete on the 10th day after delivery of the process to the Secretary of State.

Cal. Corp. Code § 1702(a) (2009).

In support of her request, Plaintiff has submitted the sworn declaration of Mikel L. Clark, the Field Supervisor for Diversified Legal Services, Inc. ("DLS"), the process server Plaintiff has retained in this case. Mr. Clark attached to his declaration a record from the California Secretary of State's Business Portal indicating the name and address of HCF as well as its agent for service of process, Torrey Larsen. [Ex. B to Clark Decl. ISO Ex Parte Request ("Clark Decl.").] Mr. Clark declares that DLS was unable to serve HCF or Ms. Larsen, further supporting this assertion with a "Non Service Report" stating that both listed addresses belong to other businesses. (Clark Decl., ¶ 2; Ex. A to Clark Decl.) Furthermore, DLS' efforts to perform a skip trace to locate HCF or Ms. Larsen have been unsuccessful. (Clark Decl., ¶ 3.) Mr. Clark has identified nine different media DLS used to attempt to locate HCF or Ms. Larsen, and declares DLS was unable to locate the defendant through any of these information sources. (Clark Decl., ¶ 3(a)-(i).)

Having considered Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Request, the Court concludes Plaintiff has shown that process cannot be served upon Defendant HCF with reasonable diligence. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Request for Order to Serve Defendant Home Capital Funding Through the California Secretary of State. The Court further ORDERS that service of process upon Home Capital Funding, a California corporation, be made by delivery of process to the California Secretary of State, or assistant or deputy thereof, pursuant to § 1702 of the California Corporations Code.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 4, 2009

IRMA E. GONZALEZ, Chief Judge United States District Court