UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1 MUHAREM KURBEGOVICH,

VS.

Plaintiff,

FBI (FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION),

Defendant.

CASE NO. 08cv2132 IEG (JMA)

ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (Doc. No. 1.) On November 21, 2008, the Court denied the Petition, giving petitioner leave to amend his petition on or before January 26, 2009. Petitioner did not file an amended petition, and filed a notice of appeal on February 3, 2009.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253, Petitioner must obtain a certificate of appealability in order to pursue his appeal. The Court should issue a certificate of appealability where Petitioner has made a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253; Pham v. Terhune, 400 F.3d 740, 742 (9th Cir. 2005). To make a "substantial showing," the petitioner must "demonstrat[e] that 'reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable[.]" Beaty v. Stewart, 303 F.3d 975, 984 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

///

- 1 - 08cv2132

1	Upon review of the petition, the Court finds Petitioner has not made a "substantial showing"
2	and DENIES a certificate of appealability with respect to all of Petitioner's claims. See id. at 983-84.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	
5	DATED: February 17, 2009
6	IRMA E. GONZALEZ, Chief Jydge
7	IRMA E. GONZÁLEZ, Chief Jydige United States District Court
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

- 2 - 08cv2132