1		
1		
2 3		
3 4		
4 5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PATRICIA A. JOHNSON,	CASE NO. 08cv2221- IEG (AJB)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISMISSING FIRST
13	VS.	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM
14	WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION as TRUSTEE; QUALITY	[Doc. No. 4]
15	LOAN SERVICE CORP.,	
16	Defendant. On December 10, 2008, this Court dismissed plaintiff's complaint <i>sua sponte</i> for a failure to	
17	state a claim upon which relief may be granted, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). (Doc. No. 3.)	
18	In the December 10 order, the Court detailed the complaint's deficiencies and granted leave to amend	
19	to cure those deficiencies. On January 2, 2009, plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint alleging	
20	defendants (1) counterfeited securities in violation of 18 U.S.C. 513(a) and (2) violated the Truth in	
21	Lending Act by failing to give full disclosure. (Doc. No. 4.)	
22	In her First Amended Complaint, plaintiff has rearranged the text of the Original Complaint,	
23	but only added one new paragraph:	
24	Only by Appointment of an Article III Court Justice and Common Law	
25 26	Proceedings before a well informed Jury who understands the rules of Common Law and a Special Grand Jury Investigation, Appointments of Article II Court Guidelines under the congretion of power set and the	
26 27	of Article II Court Guidelines under the separation of power act and the following: Challenge under Title 5 556(D). ¹	
27 28		
20	¹ The cited statute, 5 U.S.C. § 556(d), governs the procedure for administrative hearings; therefore, the statute is inapplicable to the current proceeding.	
	-	1 - 08cv2221- IEG (AJB)

(FAC at 11, Doc. No. 4.) The addition of this paragraph does not cure the deficiencies detailed in the
Court's December 10 order. (Doc. No. 3.) In that Order, the Court discussed, in detail, two fatal flaws
with plaintiff's complaint: (1) as to claim one, the complaint fails to allege facts to support her claim
that her mortgage was counterfeited; and (2) as to claim two, the complaint does not allege the
defendants failed to make any of the disclosures required by the Truth and Lending Act. (Dec. 10,
2008 Order at 2- 4, Doc. No. 3.) Plaintiff has failed to address these flaws in her First Amended
Complaint.

8

CONCLUSION

9 For the foregoing reasons, the First Amended Complaint is DISMISSED WITHOUT 10 PREJUDICE for failing to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Plaintiff is GRANTED 11 thirty (30) days from the file date of this Order to file a Second Amended Complaint addressing the 12 two deficiencies set forth above. If the Second Amended Complaint does not cure the deficiencies, 13 the Court is disinclined to grant additional leave to amend. For greater explanation of these 14 deficiencies, the Court directs plaintiff to the December 10 Order. Plaintiff is cautioned her Second 15 Amended Complaint must be complete in itself, without relying on references to the First Amended 16 Complaint or the Original Complaint. Plaintiff is further cautioned any defendant not named or claim 17 not re-alleged will be considered waived. See King v. Attiveh, 814 F.3d 1172, 1177-79 (9th Cir. 18 1996).

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 20 IT IS SO ORDERED.
- 21 DATED: February 12, 2009

IRMA E. GONZALEZ, Obief Judge United States District Court