NOT FOR CITATION	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
JOHN S. RHINE,) No. C 08-03938 JF (PR)
Petitioner,) ORDER OF TRANSFER
vs.)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.	

Respondent.

(Docket No. 2)

Petitioner, a prisoner proceeding <u>pro se</u>, filed a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, attacking a sentence imposed by this Court. However, is it obvious from the motion that Petitioner is a state prisoner, who was convicted in San Diego Superior Court and is currently serving his sentence at Atascadero State Hospital, a California state institution.

A state prisoner challenging the constitutionality of his state conviction may do so
by filing a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Venue for a
habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction,
28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); however, petitions challenging a conviction are preferably heard in
the district of conviction. See Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); Laue v. Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265,

1	266 (N.D. Cal. 1968); cf. Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989) (district of	
2	confinement best forum to review execution of sentence).	
3	Accordingly, this case is TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for	
4	the Southern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1404(a); Habeas L.R.	
5	2254-3(b)(1). In view of the transfer, the Court will not rule upon Petitioner's pending	
6	motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Docket No. 2).	
7	The Clerk shall terminate all pending motions and transfer the entire file to the	
8	Southern District of California.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	DATED: 1/14/09 JEREMY FOGEL	
11	United States District Judge	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Order of Transfer P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JF\HC.08\Rhine03938_transfer.wpd 2	

Ι