

1 service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for
2 the failure, the court shall extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

3 Rule 4(m) allows, but does not require, courts to provide additional time for service, "even if
4 good cause is not shown." Notes of the Advisory Committee on 1993 Amendments to rule 4(m).

5 In addition, Rule 41(b) provides in pertinent part:

6 Involuntary Dismissal; Effect. If the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with
7 these rules or a court order, a defendant may move to dismiss the action or any
8 claim against it.

9 District courts have the authority to dismiss *sua sponte* "cases that have remained
10 dormant because of the inaction or dilatoriness of the parties seeking relief." *Link v. Wabash*
11 *R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 630 (1962); *Ash v. Cvetkov*, 739 F.2d 493, 496 (9th Cir. 1984).

12 Consistent with this authority, Civil Local Rule 41.1(a) provides:

13 Actions or proceedings which have been pending in this court for more than six
14 months, without any proceeding or discovery having been taken during such
15 period, may, after notice, be dismissed by the court for want of prosecution, at the
16 calling of a calendar prepared for that purpose by the clerk. Such a dismissal shall
17 be without prejudice, unless otherwise ordered.

18 Based on Plaintiff's representations on the record, the failure to comply with the May 11,
19 2009 order and the subsequent delay incurred in these proceedings were unreasonable.
20 Nevertheless, in the interests of justice, the action is not dismissed at this time. Accordingly, it
21 is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

22 1. This case is **TEMPORARILY CLOSED**. No later than seven calendar days after the
23 completion of arbitration, Plaintiff shall file an ex parte application to reopen the case. The
24 application shall include a copy of the arbitrator's decision. Plaintiff shall serve Defendant with
25 process within seven calendar days of filing the ex parte application.

26 2. The Joint Motion to Stay Federal Proceeding, filed November 17, 2009, is **DENIED**
27 as moot.

28 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: December 1, 2009


M. James Lorenz
United States District Court Judge

1 COPY TO:
2 HON. RUBEN B. BROOKS
3 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
4 ALL PARTIES/COUNSEL

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28