1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 DORY SOLOMON, Civil No.09CV362-JAH(LSP) 11 Plaintiff, 12 NOTICE AND ORDER FOR EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION CONFERENCE v. 13 AT&T SERVICES, INC., 14 Defendant. 15 16 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that an Early Neutral Evaluation of your 17 case will be held on March 30, 2009, at 9 a.m. before United States 18 Magistrate Judge Leo S. Papas, United States Courthouse, Courtroom 19 G, First Floor, 940 Front Street, San Diego, California. 20 Pursuant to Rule 16.1(c) of the Local Rules of the United 21 States District Court for the Southern District of California, both 22 counsel and the parties who have full and unlimited authority to 23 1 "Full authority to settle" means that the individuals at the settlement 24 conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. 25 Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648 (7th Cir. 1989). The person needs to have "unfettered discretion and authority" to 26 change the settlement position of a party. Pitman v. Brinker Intl., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-486 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose of requiring a 27 person with unlimited settlement authority to attend the conference includes that the person's view of the case may be altered during the face 28 to face conference. Id. at 486. A limited or a sum certain of authority

is not adequate.

Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590 (8th Cir.

negotiate and enter into a binding settlement shall appear <u>in person</u> at the conference and shall be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages.

Unless there are extraordinary circumstances, persons required to attend the conference pursuant to this Order shall not be excused from personal attendance. Requests for excuse from attendance for extraordinary circumstances shall be made in writing at least 48 hours prior to the conference. Where the suit involves the United States or one of its agencies, only counsel for the United States with full settlement authority need appear. All conference discussions will be informal, off the record, privileged and confidential.

The parties may submit a short Confidential Early Neutral Evaluation Conference Statement prior to the conference. The parties are also encouraged to lodge with Magistrate Judge Papas' chambers a chronology, setting forth a timeline of the factual events that are the basis for the claims and defenses asserted in this litigation. If submitted, the chronology should be in a chart or column format with the column headings "DATE" and "EVENT" and may be annotated with documents significant to the facts or issues.

In the event the case does not settle at the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference, the parties shall also be prepared to discuss the following matters at the conclusion of the conference.

1. Any anticipated objections under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)(E) to the initial disclosure provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)(A-D);

27 //

2.2

2.4

2001).

2. The scheduling of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1 2 26(f) conference; 3. The date of initial disclosure and the date for lodging 3 4 the discovery plan following the Rule 26(f) conference; and, 4. 5 The scheduling of a Case Management Conference pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b). 6 7 The Court will issue an appropriate order addressing these issues and setting dates as appropriate. 8 Plaintiff's counsel shall notify all Defendants of the date 9 and time of the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference. 10 11 regarding this case may be directed to the Magistrate Judge's 12 research attorney at (619) 557-6384. 13 14 DATED: February 25, 2009 15 16 Hon. Leo 17 U.S. Magistrate Judge 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Questions