1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	JAMES GROOMS; BRYCEMARIE PHELAN; KNUKLE, INC., a Colorado	CASE NO. 09cv489 - IEG - POR
13	corporation,	ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
14	Plaintiffs, vs.	CLARIFY TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
15		[Doc. No. 30]
16 17	JOHN LEGGE; GWEN LEGGE; KNUKLE, INC., a California corporation; ARTILLERY DISTRIBUTION; SEAN MYERS; DEVIN MERCADO; DOES 1-50,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	On March 30, 2009, defendants moved the Court to clarify the extent of the temporary	
21	restraining order. [Doc. No. 30.] Specifically, defendants questioned whether the order required	
22	defendants to transfer control of the website to plaintiffs. At an expedited discovery conference,	
23	Magistrate Judge Battaglia ordered the parties to hold the status quo on the transfer of ownership	
24 25	pending clarification by this Court. [Doc. No. 31.] The TRO in question orders control of the website	
25 26	be transferred "with respect to any and all prior or current purchase orders." [Doc. No. 14.] Because	
26 27	this does not involve any future orders, the Court finds that defendants have complied with the order	
27 28	by closing the website. At this juncture, turning over control of the website would affect future	
28	purchase orders, which exceeds the bounds of the	above quoted conditional language. Therefore, the

- 1 -

1	Court orders the parties to hold the status quo on the transfer of ownership of the website until the	
2	April 7, 2009 hearing on plaintiffs' pending motions.	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	DATED: April 1, 2009	
5	Ima E. Jouralen	
6	IRMA E. GONZALEZ, Chief Judge United States District Court	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27		
20		

I