FILED

09 DEC -2 PM 12: 13

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BA.

DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ISAAC C. MITCHELL,

Petitioner.

CASE No. 09cv0518 BEN (LSP)

VS.

M. MARTEL, Warden, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY

Concurrently herewith, the Court entered judgment denying the Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Effective December 1, 2009, this Court must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to the applicant. Rule 11 foll. 28 U.S.C. § 2254; 28 U.S.C. § 2253; Fed.R.App.P. 22(b). For the reasons set forth below, the Court **DENIES** certificate of appealability.

A certificate of appealability ("COA") is authorized "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C.A. § 2253(c)(2). "The issue of whether to grant a COA 'becomes somewhat more complicated where, as here, the district court dismisses the (claims) based on procedural grounds." *Lambright v. Stewart*, 220 F.3d 1022, 1026 (9th Cir. 2000), quoting *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). In that situation, this Court "must decide whether 'jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right" and "whether 'jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." *Id*.

09cv0518

In this case, the Court finds that reasonable jurists would not find it debatable that Petitioner was denied a constitutional right or that the district court was not correct in its procedural ruling. Slack, 529 U.S. at 484; Lambright, 220 F.3d at 1026. Accordingly, the Court hereby **DENIES** a certificate of appealability as to the claims set forth in Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

Hon. Roger T. Benitez

United States District Judge