1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	JAMES LYNN O'HINES,	Civil No. 09cv0576 L (CAB)				
12	aka JACKSON MALLOY, ADC # 197067;					
13	CDCR #K-86989; Plaintiff,	ORDER DISMISSING CIVIL				
14	VS.	ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR FAILING TO PAY FILING FEE				
15		REQUIRED BY 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a)				
16	GALAZA, et al.,					
17	Defendants.					
18						
19	Plaintiff, a former California inmate currently incarcerated at the Arizona State Prison					
20	Complex in Florence, Arizona, and proceeding	ro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to				
21	42 U.S.C. § 1983.					
22	I. Failure to Pay Filing Fee or Request IFP Status					
23	All parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in a district court of the United					
24	States, other than a writ of habeas corpus, must pay a filing fee of \$350. See 28 U.S.C.					
25 26	§ 1914(a). An action may proceed despite a party's failure to pay only if the party is granted					
26 27	leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). See Rodriguez v.					
27	Cook, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999).					
28	///					
	K:\COMMON\EVERYONE\_EFILE-PROSE\L\09cv0576-dsm-no-pay&1915(g).wpd	09cv0576				

Here, Plaintiff has neither prepaid the \$350 filing fee required to commence this action,
 nor has he submitted a Motion to Proceed IFP. Therefore, the case is subject to immediate
 dismissal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). The Court further notes that while it would ordinarily
 grant Plaintiff leave to file a Motion for IFP, he is no longer entitled to that privilege.

Section 1915 of Title 28 of the United States Code allows certain litigants to pursue civil
litigation IFP, that is, without the full prepayment of fees or costs. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).
However, the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA") amended section 1915 to preclude the
privilege to proceed IFP:

... if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). "This subdivision is commonly known as the 'three strikes' provision." 13 Andrews v. King, 398 F.3d 1113, 1116 n.1 (9th Cir. 2005) (hereafter "Andrews"). "Pursuant to 14 § 1915(g), a prisoner with three strikes or more cannot proceed IFP." Id.; see also Andrews v. 15 16 Cervantes, 493 F.3d 1047, 1052 (9th Cir. 2007) (hereafter "Cervantes") (under the PLRA, 17 "[p]risoners who have repeatedly brought unsuccessful suits may entirely be barred from IFP status under the three strikes rule[.]"). The objective of the PLRA is to further "the 18 19 congressional goal of reducing frivolous prisoner litigation in federal court." *Tierney v. Kupers*, 20 128 F.3d 1310, 1312 (9th Cir. 1997).

21 "Strikes are prior cases or appeals, brought while the plaintiff was a prisoner, which were 22 dismissed on the ground that they were frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim," 23 Andrews, 398 F.3d at 1116 n.1 (internal quotations omitted), "even if the district court styles 24 such dismissal as a denial of the prisoner's application to file the action without prepayment of 25 the full filing fee." O'Neal v. Price, 531 F.3d 1146, 1153 (9th Cir. 2008). Once a prisoner has accumulated three strikes, he is prohibited by section 1915(g) from pursuing any other IFP 26 27 action in federal court unless he can show he is facing "imminent danger of serious physical 28 injury." See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); Cervantes, 493 F.3d at 1051-52 (noting § 1915(g)'s exception

9

10

11

12

for IFP complaints which "make[] a plausible allegation that the prisoner faced 'imminent
 danger of serious physical injury' at the time of filing.").

And while the PLRA does not require a prisoner to declare that § 1915(g) does not bar a request to proceed IFP, *Andrews*, 398 F.3d at 1119, "[i]n some instances, the district court docket records may be sufficient to show that a prior dismissal satisfies at least one of the criteria under § 1915(g) and therefore counts as a strike." *Id.* at 1120. That is the case here.

A court "may take notice of proceedings in other courts, both within and without the 7 federal judicial system, if those proceedings have a direct relation to matters at issue." Bias v. 8 9 Moynihan, 508 F.3d 1212, 1225 (9th Cir. 2007) (quoting Bennett v. Medtronic, Inc., 285 F.3d 10 801, 803 n.2 (9th Cir. 2002)); see also United States ex rel. Robinson Rancheria Citizens Council v. Borneo, Inc., 971 F.2d 244, 248 (9th Cir. 1992). Thus, this Court takes judicial notice 11 12 that Plaintiff has had more than three prior prisoner civil actions dismissed on the grounds that they were frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted 13 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2) and 1915A. See Hines v. City of San Diego Police Dep't, 14 Civil Case No. 00-0969 K (LAB) (S.D. Cal. June 21, 1999) (Order dismissing complaint for 15 16 failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(b)(ii) & 1915A(b)(1)) (strike one); 17 Malloy v. Kowolski, Civil Case No. 00-1186 W (LAB) (S.D. Cal. June 30, 2000) (Order dismissing complaint as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)) (strike two); Hines v. 18 19 Hissong, Civil Case No. 00-1177 JM (NLS) (S.D. Cal. July 14, 2000) (Order dismissing 20 complaint as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)) (strike three); Malloy v. Corcoran 21 Prison, Civil Case No. 00-5660 REC (DLB) (E.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2000) (Order dismissing complaint as frivolous) (strike four); Hines v. Jaffe, Civil Case No. 00-2078 W (CGA) (S.D. Cal. 22 23 Nov. 7, 2000) (Order dismissing complaint as frivolous) (strike five); Malloy v. Galaza, Civil 24 Case No. 00-5647 AWI (HGB) (E.D. Cal. Dec. 13, 2000) (Order dismissing action for failing to state a claim) (strike six); and Malloy v. Corcoran Prison, Civil Case No. 99-6647 REC 25 (SMS) (E.D. Cal. Dec. 15, 2000) (Order dismissing complaint as frivolous and for failing to state 26 a claim) (strike seven). 27

3

28 ///

3

4

5

6

1	Accordingly, because Plaintiff has, while incarcerated, accumulated more than three					
2	"strikes" pursuant to § 1915(g) and his present Complaint, which is virtually impossible to					
3	decipher, does not include any "plausible allegation" of imminent danger of serious physical					
4	injury at the time he filed his Complaint, Plaintiff is not entitled the opportunity to proceed IFP					
5	in this action. See Cervantes, 493 F.3d at 1055; Rodriguez, 169 F.3d at 1180 (finding that 28					
6	U.S.C. § 1915(g) "does not prevent all prisoners from accessing the courts; it only precludes					
7	prisoners with a history of abusing the legal system from continuing to abuse it while enjoying					
8	IFP status"); see also Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1231 (9th Cir. 1984) ("[C]ourt					
9	permission to proceed IFP is itself a matter of privilege and not right.").					
10	II. Conclusion and Order					
11	For the reasons set forth above, the Court hereby:					
12	(1) <b>DISMISSES</b> this action sua sponte without prejudice for failing to pay the \$350					
13	filing fee pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a);					
14	(2) <b>GRANTS</b> Plaintiff <b>forty five</b> ( <b>45</b> ) days leave from the date this Order is Filed to					
15	pay the \$350 civil filing fee in full.					
16	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiff fails to pay the entire \$350 civil filing fee					
17	within the 45 days provided, this civil action shall remain dismissed without prejudice and					
18	without further Order of the Court.					
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
20						
21	DATED: March 26, 2009					
22	M. James Korenz					
23	United States District Court Judge					
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	K:\COMMON\EVERYONE\EFILE-PROSE\L\09cv0576-dsm-no-pay&1915(g).wpd 4 09cv0576					

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	K:\COMMON\EVERYONE\_EFILE-PROSE\L\09cv0576-dsm-no-pay&1915(g).wpd	5	09cv0576