1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	JEFFREY BABBITT, 1:09-cv-00650-DLB (HC)
12 13	ORDER TRANSFERING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	vs.
15	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
16	CORRECTIONS,
17	Respondent.
18	/
19	
20	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28
21	U.S.C. § 2254.
22	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity
23	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants
24	reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions
25	giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is
26	situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which
27	the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
28	In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from San Diego County, which is in the

1	Southern District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United States
2	District Court for the Southern District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may
3	transfer a case filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v.
4	McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States
6	District Court for the Southern District of California.
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	Dated:April 13, 2009/s/ Dennis L. BeckUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21 22	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2
	/