

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 LINDSEY KAE SCHAEFER,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 SAN DIEGO CORNERSTONE
15 MORTGAGE; INDYMAC FEDERAL
16 BANK, F.S.B.; ONEWEST BANK, FSB.;
FDIC; et al.,

17 Defendants.

CASE NO. 09-CV-978 JLS (CAB)

**ORDER: GRANTING
DEFENDANT ONE WEST BANK,
FSB'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

(Doc. No. 29)

18 Plaintiff filed this action on March 30, 2009. (Doc. No. 1 Ex. 1 (Compl.)). She alleged that
19 Defendants violated, *inter alia*, the Perata Mortgage Relief Act and the California Unfair Business
20 Practices Act. (*Id.*) On June 21, 2010, the Court denied Defendant OneWest Bank, FSB's (OneWest)
21 motion to dismiss the Perata Mortgage Relief Act and California Unfair Business Practices Act
22 claims.¹ (Doc. No. 25.)

23 Presently before the Court is OneWest's motion for summary judgment on Plaintiff's surviving
24 claims. (Doc. No. 29.) Also before the Court is Plaintiff's statement of non-opposition regarding
25 OneWest's motion for summary judgment. (Doc. No. 33.) Plaintiff concedes that, in light of *Mabry*
26 *v. Superior Court*, 110 Cal. Rptr. 3d (Cal. Ct. App. 2010), her Perata Mortgage Relief Act claim lacks
27


28 ¹ The Court granted OneWest's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's claim under the Truth in Lending
Act. (Doc. No. 25, at 4.)

1 merit. (*Id.*) And because Plaintiff cannot prevail on that claim, her Unfair Business Practices Act
2 claim also fails. *See Cal-Tech Commc'n, Inc. v. L.A. Cellular Tel. Co.*, 973 P.2d 527, 539–40 (Cal.
3 1999).

4 Accordingly, OneWest's motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED**. This Order concludes
5 the litigation in this matter. The Clerk shall close the file.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7
8 DATED: January 3, 2011

9 
10 Honorable Janis L. Sammartino
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28