

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

LIBERTY MEDIA HOLDINGS, LLC, a
California Limited Liability Company,

Plaintiff,

vs.
DAUBEN, Inc., a Texas Corporation d/b/a
TEXAS INTERNATIONAL PROPERTY
ASSOCIATES, and JOSEPH "JOEY"
DAUBEN, Individually,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 09cv1097 WQH (NLS)
ORDER

HAYES, Judge:


On May 20, 2009, Plaintiff initiated this action by filing the complaint (Doc. # 1). On June 9, 2009, attorney Marc J. Randazza was admitted to appear on behalf of Plaintiff pro hac vice (Doc. # 4). On July 31, 2009, Plaintiff filed the Ex Parte Motion for Permission to Continue as Co-Counsel Pro Hac Vice (Doc. # 6). Plaintiff contends that Randazza is an attorney licensed and in good standing with the bars of Massachusetts and Florida. Plaintiff contends that Randazza became General Counsel for Plaintiff's parent company, which is headquartered in San Diego, California. Plaintiff contends that Randazza is registered as in-house attorney under California Rules of Court, Rule 9.46, and that Randazza has applied for admission to the State Bar of California. Plaintiff requests that the Court take notice of Randazza's change in status, and permit Randazza to remain as counsel for Plaintiff. Plaintiff contends that no prejudice would come to either party if Randazza remains counsel pro hac vice. Plaintiff contends that, "[o]n the other hand, Plaintiff could be prejudiced if Randazza is forced

1 to withdraw because local co-counsel is far less experienced in litigating the type of claims asserted
2 by Plaintiff.” *Ex Parte Motion*, p. 4. On August 6, 2009, this Court issued an order which provided
3 that Defendants may file a response to the Ex Parte Application on or before August 12, 2009 (Doc.
4 #7). Defendants have not filed a response to the Ex Parte Application.

5 Plaintiff has demonstrated good cause for permitting Randazza to remain as counsel in this
6 case. Defendants have not filed any objection to Plaintiff’s request. In light of the foregoing, the
7 Court grants the Ex Parte Application.

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Ex Parte Application for Permission to Continue as Co-
9 Counsel Pro Hac Vice (Doc. # 6) is **GRANTED**.

10 DATED: August 14, 2009

11 
12 **WILLIAM Q. HAYES**
13 United States District Judge

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28