1			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	ANTHONY WAYNE JOHNSON, JR.,	Civil No. 09cv1312-LAB (POR)	
11	Plaintiff,	MODIFIED ODDED OD ANTINO	
12	V.	MODIFIED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE	
13	M. GAINS et al.,	TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT	
14	Defendants.	[ECF No. 52]	
15	On March 7, 2011, the Court issued an Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File		
16	Fourth Amended Complaint. In that Order, the Court erroneously directed the Clerk to issue a		
17	summons upon Defendants as to Plaintiff's Fourth Amended Complaint. Accordingly, the Court has		
18	modified its March 7, 2011 order as follows.		
19	On February 10, 2010, Plaintiff Anthony Wayne Johnson, Jr., a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro</i>		
20	se and in forma pauperis, filed a Third Amended Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against		
21	ten California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation officials. ¹ (ECF No. 23.) On June 3,		
22	2010, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 40.)		
23	While Defendants' motion was pending, Plaintiff f	iled a Motion for Leave to File a Fourth Amended	
24			
25	¹ On June 8, 2009, Plaintiff filed a complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. 1.) On August 19, 2009, the Honorable Larry A. Burns dismissed Plaintiff's Complaint without prejudice for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C.		
26	§§ 1915(e)(2)(b) and 1915A(b). (Doc. 4.) Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint on September 11, 2009. (Doc. 8.) On October 8, 2009, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint without prejudice for failure to state a claim		
27	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(b) and 1915A(b). (Doc. 11.) On October 28, 2009, Plaintiff filed his Second Amended Complaint. (Doc. 14.) Again, on November 9, 2009, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint for failure		
28	to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(b) and 19	915A(b). (Doc. 15.)	

09cv1312

1	Complaint. ² (ECF No. 52.) Defendants have not opposed Plaintiff's motion.		
2	On January 26, 2011, this Court issued a Report and Recommendation that Defendants'		
3	Motion to Dismiss be granted in part and denied in part. (ECF No. 50.) Specifically, the Court		
4	recommended granting the following claims without prejudice: (1) Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment		
5	excessive force claims against Defendants Gains, Holmstrom and Beltran; (2) Plaintiff's claims		
6	against Defendant Cate; and (3) Plaintiff's request for injunctive relief. (Id. at 12.) On February 24,		
7	2011, the Honorable Larry A. Burns issued an order adopting this Court's recommendation to grant		
8	in part and deny in part Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (ECF No. 53.)		
9	Leave to amend should be freely given "when justice so requires." Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(2).		
10	Furthermore, "[t]his policy is applied even more liberally to pro se litigants." <u>Eldridge v. Block</u> , 832		
11	F.2d 1132, 1135 (9th Cir. 1987). Here, Plaintiff requests to amend his complaint only to include		
12	"more details and evidence to support his claims." (ECF No. 52 at 1.) Based on a review of the		
13	proposed Fourth Amended Complaint, and for the reasons set forth in this Court's previous Report		
14	and Recommendation Order, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion to Amend.		
15	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
16	1. The Clerk of Court shall file Plaintiff's Fourth Amended Complaint (Doc. 52-1) as a		
17	new entry on the docket of this case.		
18	2. Defendants are thereafter ORDERED to reply to Plaintiff's Fourth Amended		
19	Complaint within the time provided by the applicable provisions of Federal Rule of		
20	Civil Procedure 12(a).		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	DATED: March 8, 2011		
23	Laure laster		
24	LOUISA S PORTER United States Magistrate Judge		
25	cc The Honorable Larry A. Burns		
26	All parties		
27			
28	² Plaintiff submitted a proposed Fourth Amended Complaint as an exhibit to his motion. (ECF No. 52, Ex. 1.)		
	- 2 - 09cv1312		