-WVG Whitmore	v. Hense	Do	c. 5
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	PAUL GORDON WHITMORE,	1:09-cv-00920 YNP DLB (HC)	
12	Petitioner,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR	
13	vs.	THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT FOR CALIFORNIA	
14	LYDIA C. HENSE, Warden,	CALII ORWA	
15	Respondent.		
16			
17			
18	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28		
19	U.S.C. § 2254.		
20	Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of		
21	conviction. 28 U.S.C. A. § 2241 (d). However, it is preferable for petitions challenging a conviction		
22	or sentence to be heard in the district of conviction while petitions challenging the manner in which the		
23	sentence is being executed be heard in the d	listrict of confinement. <u>Dunne v. Henman</u> , 875 F.2d 244, 249	
24	(9th Cir. 1989).		
25	In this case, the petitioner is challe	enging a conviction from San Diego County, which is in the	
26	Southern District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United States		
27	District Court for the Southern District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may		
28	transfer a case filed in the wrong district t	to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v.	

1	McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).		
2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States		
3	District Court for the Southern District of California.		
4			
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6	Dated: June 12, 2009 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
7	UNITED STATES WAGISTRATE JUDGE		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			