1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	REGINALDO SANTIAGO,	CASE NO. 09-CV- 1501 W (CAB)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING
13	V.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS (DOC. 5)
14	WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, et	
15	al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17	Pending before the Court is a motion to dismiss filed by Defendant JP Morgan	
18	Chase Bank, N.A., as purchaser of the loans and other assets of Washington Mutual	
19	Bank ("Defendant"). Plaintiff has failed to oppose.	
20	Civil Local Rule 7.1(f.3.c) provides that "[i]f an opposing party fails to file papers	
21	in the manner required by Local Rule 7.1(e)(2), that failure may constitute a consent to	
22	the granting of that motion or other ruling by the court." The Ninth Circuit has held	
23	that a district court may properly grant a motion to dismiss for failure to respond. <u>See</u>	
24	generally Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam) (affirming	
25	dismissal for failure to file timely opposition papers where plaintiff had notice of the	
26	motion and ample time to respond).	
27	Here, based on the hearing date, Plaintiff's opposition was due on or before	
28	October 12, 2009. Plaintiff, however, did not file an opposition and has not requested	

09cv1501w

additional time to do so. Moreover, there is no evidence before the Court that
 Defendant's moving papers failed to reach the mailing address designated in Defendant's
 Proof of Service or that Plaintiff was not aware of the pending motion. Relying on Civil
 Local Rule 7.1(f.3.c), the Court deems Plaintiff's failure to oppose Defendant's motion
 as consent to the merits.

In light of the foregoing, Defendant's motion to dismiss (Doc. 5) is GRANTED
WITH LEAVE TO AMEND. Any amended complaint addressing the deficiencies
identified in Defendant's motion must be filed on or before <u>December 7, 2009</u>. Plaintiff
is further cautioned that failure to comply with this order or to respond to any future
motions to dismiss may result in dismissal with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 6, 2009

homas I. Inited States District Judge