| 1 | | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 6 | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | RANDOLPH TIMBOL, | Case No. 09cv1538 IEG POR |
| 9 | Plaintiff, |)) |
| 10 | vs. | ORDER: |
| 11 | vs. |) (1) SUBSTITUTING THE UNITED) STATES OF AMERICA AS PARTY |
| 12 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ROGER J. BUCHANA, an individual, and DOES 1- | |
| 13 | 50, |) (2) DISMISSING ACTION AS TO ROGER |
| 14 | Defendants. | J. BUCHANA PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2679(b)(1) |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Upon review and consideration of the Notice of Substitution filed by the United States of | |
| 18 | America, and it appearing to the Court that this case includes tort claims against Defendant Roger J | |
| 19 | Buchana, arising out of his actions certified by the Attorney General of the United States to have | |
| 20 | been taken within the scope of his employment as a federal employee, | |
| 21 | IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d), that the United States of America is the | |
| 22 | only proper Defendant in this action, with regard to the Complaint filed by Plaintiffs, and that the | |
| 23 | title of the action be amended accordingly. | |
| 24 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that as to Defendant Roger J. Buchana, this action is | |
| 25 | dismissed, with prejudice, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2679(b)(1). | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | DATED: September 24, 2009 | A C G |
| 28 | | IRMA E. GONZALEZ, Chief Judge United States District Court |