

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

PAUL ZARAGOZA AKA G. GARCIA,  
  
Petitioner,  
  
vs.  
  
MATTHEW CATE,  
  
Respondent.

CASE NO. 09cv1598 DMS (WMC)

**ORDER (1) ADOPTING  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE’S  
REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION, (2)  
GRANTING REQUEST TO  
DISMISS UNEXHAUSTED  
CLAIMS AND (3) REQUIRING  
RESPONSE**

**[Docket Nos. 14, 17, 19]**

On July 22, 2009, Petitioner Paul Zaragoza (“Petitioner”), a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On November 9, 2009, Respondent filed a motion to dismiss the petition on the grounds that some of Petitioner’s claims were unexhausted. On July 6, 2010, Magistrate Judge William McCurine, Jr. issued a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), recommending that the Court (1) find the petition is “mixed,” *i.e.*, it contains exhausted and unexhausted claims, (2) provide Petitioner with a list of options on how to proceed, and (3) grant the motion to dismiss. This Court adopted the first two recommendations and provided Petitioner with a list of options on how to proceed with his case.

In response, Petitioner filed the present motion for a stay and abeyance. The Magistrate Judge has issued an R&R recommending that the Court deny the motion and give Petitioner the further option

1 to dismiss his unexhausted claims and proceed with his unexhausted claim or risk having his case  
2 dismissed. This Court, having reviewed *de novo* the Magistrate Judge’s R&R, and there being no  
3 objections filed thereto, adopts the recommendation to deny the motion for a stay and abeyance.

4 After the R&R was issued and the time for filing objections had expired, Petitioner filed a  
5 request to dismiss his unexhausted claims and proceed with his one exhausted claim. There being no  
6 objection, and good cause appearing, the Court grants that request. Accordingly, the Court dismisses  
7 without prejudice Petitioner’s first through third claims for relief. As to Petitioner’s fourth claim, **IT**  
8 **IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

9 1. Respondent shall file and serve an answer to the Petition, and a memorandum of points and  
10 authorities in support of such answer, pursuant to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases no later  
11 than **May 19, 2011**. At the time the answer is filed, Respondent shall lodge with the Court all records  
12 bearing on the merits of Petitioner’s claim. The lodgments shall be accompanied by a notice of  
13 lodgment which shall be captioned “**Notice of Lodgment in 28 U.S.C. § 2254 Habeas Corpus Case**  
14 **— To Be Sent to Clerk’s Office.**” Respondent shall not combine separate pleadings, orders or other  
15 items into a combined lodgment entry. Each item shall be numbered separately and sequentially.

16 2. Petitioner may file a traverse to matters raised in the answer no later than **June 20, 2011**. Any  
17 traverse by Petitioner (a) shall state whether Petitioner admits or denies each allegation of fact contained  
18 in the answer; (b) shall be limited to facts or arguments responsive to matters raised in the answer; and  
19 (c) shall not raise new grounds for relief that were not asserted in the Petition. Grounds for relief  
20 withheld until the traverse will not be considered. No traverse shall exceed ten (10) pages in length  
21 absent advance leave of Court for good cause shown.

22 3. A request by a party for an extension of time within which to file any of the pleadings required  
23 by this Order should be made in advance of the due date of the pleading, and the Court will grant such  
24 a request only upon a showing of good cause. Any such request shall be accompanied by a declaration  
25 under penalty of perjury explaining why an extension of time is necessary.


26 4. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, this case shall be deemed submitted on the day following  
27 the date Petitioner’s traverse is due.

28 ///

1 5. Every document delivered to the Court must include a certificate of service attesting that a copy  
2 of such document was served on opposing counsel (or on the opposing party, if such party is not  
3 represented by counsel). Any document delivered to the Court without a certificate of service will be  
4 returned to the submitting party and will be disregarded by the Court.

5 6. Petitioner shall immediately notify the Court and counsel for Respondent of any change of  
6 Petitioner's address. If Petitioner fails to keep the Court informed of where Petitioner may be contacted,  
7 this action will be subject to dismissal for failure to prosecute.

8 DATED: April 19, 2011



9  
10 HON. DANA M. SABRAW  
11 United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28