1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	STEVEN GREGORY WILLIAMS,	Civil No. 09-1705 IEG (RBB)	
12	Petitioner,		
13	v.	ORDER REOPENING CASE AND SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE	
14	COLLEEN NOLL, Warden,	SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE	
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	On August 5, 2009, Petitioner, proceeding pro se, submitted a Petition for Writ of Habeas		
18	Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. In its August 17, 2009 Order, the Court dismissed this		
19	case without prejudice because Petitioner failed to satisfy the filing fee requirement . Petitioner		
20	was instructed that to have this case reopened he had to either pay the filing fee or provide		
21	was instructed that to have this case reopened	he had to either pay the filing fee or provide	
	adequate proof of his inability to pya no later th		
22	adequate proof of his inability to pya no later the		
22 23	adequate proof of his inability to pya no later the	han October 17, 2009. Dication to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant	
22 23 24	adequate proof of his inability to pya no later th On August 26, 2009, Petitioner filed app	han October 17, 2009. Dication to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant ew of the Petition, the Court ORDERS that this	
22 23 24 25	adequate proof of his inability to pya no later th On August 26, 2009, Petitioner filed app to this Court's Order. Based on this Court's revi case be reopened. Further, in accordance with H of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254,	han October 17, 2009. Dication to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant ew of the Petition, the Court ORDERS that this Rule 4 of the rules governing petitions for a writ	
22 23 24 25 26	adequate proof of his inability to pya no later th On August 26, 2009, Petitioner filed app to this Court's Order. Based on this Court's revi case be reopened. Further, in accordance with H	han October 17, 2009. Dication to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant ew of the Petition, the Court ORDERS that this Rule 4 of the rules governing petitions for a writ	
22 23 24 25 26 27	adequate proof of his inability to pya no later th On August 26, 2009, Petitioner filed app to this Court's Order. Based on this Court's revi case be reopened. Further, in accordance with H of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, IT IS ORDERED that: ///	han October 17, 2009. Dication to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant ew of the Petition, the Court ORDERS that this Rule 4 of the rules governing petitions for a writ	
22 23 24 25 26	adequate proof of his inability to pya no later th On August 26, 2009, Petitioner filed app to this Court's Order. Based on this Court's revi case be reopened. Further, in accordance with H of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, IT IS ORDERED that:	han October 17, 2009. Dication to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant ew of the Petition, the Court ORDERS that this Rule 4 of the rules governing petitions for a writ	

1. The Clerk of this Court shall promptly (a) serve a copy of the Petition and a copy 1 2 of this Order on the Attorney General for the State of California, or his authorized agent; and (b) serve a copy of this Order on Petitioner.

3

2. If Respondent contends the Petition can be decided without the Court's reaching 4 5 the merits of Petitioner's claims (e.g., because Respondent contends Petitioner has failed to exhaust any state remedies as to any ground for relief alleged in the Petition, or that the Petition 6 7 is barred by the statute of limitations, or that the Petition is subject to dismissal under Rule 9 of 8 the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, or that all of the claims are procedurally defaulted, or that 9 Petitioner is not in custody), Respondent shall file a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 4 of the 10 Rules Governing § 2254 Cases no later than October 20, 2009. The motion to dismiss shall not address the merits of Petitioner's claims, but rather shall address all grounds upon which 11 12 Respondent contends dismissal without reaching the merits of Petitioner's claims is warranted.¹ At the time the motion to dismiss is filed, Respondent shall lodge with the Court all records 13 bearing on Respondent's contention in this regard. A hearing date is not required for the motion 14 to dismiss. 15

3. 16 If Respondent files a motion to dismiss, Petitioner shall file his opposition, if any, to the motion no later than November 20, 2009. At the time the opposition is filed, Petitioner 17 shall lodge with the Court any records not lodged by Respondent which Petitioner believes may 18 19 be relevant to the Court's determination of the motion.

20 4. Unless the Court orders otherwise, Respondent shall not file a reply to Petitioner's 21 opposition to a motion to dismiss. If the motion is denied, the Court will afford Respondent adequate time to respond to Petitioner's claims on the merits. 22

5. 23 If Respondent does not contend that the Petition can be decided without the Court 24 reaching the merits of Petitioner's claims, Respondent shall file and serve an answer to the 25 Petition, as well as points and authorities in support of such answer, no later than November 4, **209**. At the time the answer is filed, Respondent shall lodge with the Court all records bearing 26

27

28

¹ If Respondent contends Petitioner has failed to exhaust any state remedies as to any ground for relief alleged in the Petition, the motion to dismiss shall also specify the state remedies still available to Petitioner.

on the merits of Petitioner's claims. The lodgments shall be accompanied by a notice of
 lodgment which shall be captioned "<u>Notice of Lodgment in 28 U.S.C. § 2254 Habeas Corpus</u>
 <u>Case — To Be Sent to Clerk's Office</u>." Respondent shall not combine separate pleadings,
 orders or other items into a combined lodgment entry. Each item shall be numbered separately
 and sequentially.

6 6. Petitioner may file a traverse to matters raised in the answer no later than
7 December 4, 2009. Any traverse by Petitioner (a) shall state whether Petitioner admits or denies
8 each allegation of fact contained in the answer; (b) shall be limited to facts or arguments
9 responsive to matters raised in the answer; and (c) shall not raise new grounds for relief that
10 were not asserted in the Petition. Grounds for relief withheld until the traverse will not be
11 considered. No traverse shall exceed ten (10) pages in length absent advance leave of Court for
12 good cause shown.

7. A request by a party for an extension of time within which to file any of the
pleadings required by this Order should be made in advance of the due date of the pleading, and
the Court will grant such a request only upon a showing of good cause. Any such request shall
be accompanied by a declaration under penalty of perjury explaining why an extension of time
is necessary.

8. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, this case shall be deemed submitted on the
day following the date Petitioner's opposition to a motion to dismiss and/or his traverse is due.

9. Every document delivered to the Court must include a certificate of service
attesting that a copy of such document was served on opposing counsel (or on the opposing
party, if such party is not represented by counsel). Any document delivered to the Court without
a certificate of service will be returned to the submitting party and disregarded by the Court.

- 24 ///
- 25 ///
- 26 /// 27 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

K:\COMMON\EVERYONE\ EFILE-PROSE\RBB\09cv1705-reopen resp.wpd. 929

-3-

1	10. Petitioner shall immediately notify the Court and counsel for Respondent of any	
2	change of Petitioner's address. If Petitioner fails to keep the Court informed of where Petitioner	
3	may be contacted, this action will be subject to dismissal for failure to prosecute.	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Λ
5	DATED: September 2, 2009	Ruben Brooks
6		Ruben B. Brooks United States Magistrate Judge
7		e inted States Mugistrate Statge
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
15		
10		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-4-
	K:COMMON'EVERYONE\EFILE-PROSERBB/09cv1705-rcopen_rcsp.wpd, 929	