

1	service may be accomplished including delivery of the process to the individual personally. See
2	Rule 4(e)(2)(A). The Ninth Circuit has found personal service to be sufficient under Rule 4(e)
3	where there has been a good faith effort to comply with the Rule resulting in placement of the
4	process within the immediate proximity of the witness and that full compliance is only prevented
5	by the witness' knowing and intentional actions to avoid service. See Travelers Casualty & Surety
6	Co. of America v. Brenneke, 551 F.3d 1132, 1135 (9th Cir. 2009).
7	In the instant case, the Court makes the following factual findings:
8	1. Ms. Griffin was personally served by Ms. Wiarda with an earlier deposition
9	subpoena in this case on June 24, 2011.
10	2. Ms. Griffin chose to ignore that subpoena and did not appear for her deposition.
11	3. Following a ruling of this Court continuing the discovery deadline in this case, Ms.
12	Wiarda sought to personally serve Ms. Griffin with a new deposition subpoena on
13	July 15, 2011, calling for her to appear on July 28, 2011.
14	4. Ms. Wiarda went to Ms. Griffin's residence and spoke to her through an open
15	window. Ms. Wiarda advised Ms. Griffin that she had a new subpoena for her and
16	Ms. Griffin responded that she was told not to accept anything from Ms. Wiarda.
17	Ms. Wiarda left the process outside Ms. Griffin's door.
18	5. Ms. Wiarda followed up by calling Ms. Griffin on her cell phone a few minutes
19	later which is confirmed by her cellular phone record.
20	Ms. Griffin denied that service occurred. She said that she heard knocking at her door but
21	did not answer it. Later, she found some papers in front of her door that she threw away. Having
22	heard the evidence, the Court credits the testimony of Ms. Wiarda which was supported by Mr.
23	Cook.
24	Accordingly, the Court finds that there was sufficient personal service under Rule 4. Ms.
25	Wiarda personally identified Ms. Griffin, advised her that she had a subpoena for her and when
26	Ms. Griffin refused to accept service, left the documents in her immediate vicinity. This service
27	constitues compliance under Rule 4(e)(1) and (2). See Travelers Casualty & Surety Co. of
28	

1	America v. Brenneke, 551 F.3d 1132, 1135 (9th Cir. 2009).
2	By agreement of the parties following the hearing, Ms. Griffin was ordered by the Court to
3	appear for deposition on August 23, 2011, at 9:00 a.m., at 402 W. Broadway, Suite 700, San
4	Diego, California. Ms. Griffin was advised by the Court that an unexcused failure to appear may
5	constitute a contempt of court and result in criminal or civil penalties.
6	The Court also is extending the discovery deadline in this case to and including August 23,
7	2011, for the sole purpose of obtaining Ms. Griffin's deposition.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	DATED: August 11, 2011
10	Mitchull D. Jeal
11	Hon. Mitchell D. Dembin U.S. Magistrate Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27 28	
28	