(HC) Jones v. Harrington		Doc. 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WARE JONES, JR.,	1:09-cv-1532-BAK-SMS (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	vs.	
14	K. HARRINGTON, Warden,	
15		
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
19	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28	
20	U.S.C. § 2254.	
21	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity	
22	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants	
23	reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions	
24	giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is	
25	situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which	
26	the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).	
27	In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from San Diego County, which lies within	
28	the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. Therefore,	
		1

the petition should have been filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a case filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 8, 2009 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE