1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	GRACE L. SANDOVAL,	CIVIL CASE NO. 09cv2530-MMA(RBB)
7	Plaintiff,	CIVIL CASE NO. 09002330-MIMIA(RDD)
8	vs.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
9	۷۵.	PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS;
10		[Doc. No. 2]
11		DENYING AS MOOT REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF
12	CALLIE ROBLES,	COUNSEL;
13	Defendant.	[Doc. No. 3]
14		DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE
15	Plaintiff Grace L. Sandoval, proceeding pro se, has filed a complaint [Doc. No. 1], along	
16	with a Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis ("IFP") [Doc. No. 2] and a Request for Appointment	
17	Counsel [Doc. No. 3]. Based on the information provided by Plaintiff, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
18	1915(a), the Court <b>GRANTS</b> Plaintiff's IFP motion, solely for the purpose of the motions currently	
19	before the Court. The Court is obligated to review a complaint filed IFP sua sponte and must	
20	dismiss the action if it determines that the complaint is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim	
21	for relief. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). After careful review, the Court finds that Plaintiff's	
22	complaint is frivolous and void of any plausible claims for relief. Because "it is absolutely clear that	
23	the deficiencies of the complaint could not be cured by amendment," the Court DISMISSES the	
24	complaint with prejudice. Franklin v. Murphy, 245 F.2d 1221, 1228 n.9 (9th Cir. 1984). As such,	
25	the Court <b>DENIES AS MOOT</b> Plaintiff's Request for Appointment of Counsel.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	DATED: November 16, 2009	
28	Michael Tu - Chello	
	Hon. Michael M. Anello, U. S. District Judge	
		[09cv2530]