

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 HOWARD YOUNG,

1:09-cv-02071-GSA (HC)

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

14 WARDEN LARRY SMALLS,

15 Respondent.

16 _____ /

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28
18 U.S.C. § 2254, in which he challenges a prison disciplinary action.

19 Petitioner has not paid the \$5.00 filing fee or submitted an application to proceed in forma
20 pauperis.

21 The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity
22 jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants
23 reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions
24 giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action
25 is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in
26 which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

27 In a habeas matter, venue is proper in either the district of conviction or the district of
28 confinement. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). In this case, petitioner challenges the result of a prison

