-WVG Sandoval v	r. Hildre	Doe
1		
•		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	GRACE L. SANDOVAL,	CIVIL CASE NO. 09-cv-2899 DMS (WVG)
8	Plaintiff	
9	VS.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS;
10		[Doc. 2]
11 12	DONALD HILDRE,	DENYING AS MOOT REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL;
13	Defendant	[Doc. 3]
14		DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE
15	Plaintiff Grace L. Sandoval, proceeding <i>pro se</i> , has filed a complaint (Doc. 1), along with a	
16	Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis ("IFP") (Doc. 2) and a Request for Appointment Counsel	
17	(Doc. 3). Based on the information provided by Plaintiff, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), the Court	
18	GRANTS Plaintiff's IFP motion, solely for the purpose of the motions currently before the Court.	
19	The Court is obligated to review a complaint filed IFP <i>sua sponte</i> and must dismiss the action if it	
20	determines that the complaint is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim for relief. See 28	
21	U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). After careful review, the Court finds that Plaintiff's complaint is frivolous and	
22	void of any plausible claims for relief. Because "it is absolutely clear that the deficiencies of the	
23	complaint could not be cured by amendment," the Court DISMISSES the complaint with	
24	prejudice. Franklin v. Murphy, 245 F.2d 1221, 1228 n.9 (9th Cir. 1984). As such, the Court	
25	DENIES AS MOOT Plaintiff's Request for Appointment of Counsel.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	DATED: January 6, 2010	\rightarrow $A A \rightarrow$
28		HON. DANA M. SABRAW United States District Judge

Doc. 5