1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHRISTIE BAKER,	CASE NO. 10CV0515-LAB (JMA)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
13	VS.	
14	BRACHFIELD & ASSOCIATES,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	On March 10, 2010, Plaintiff through counsel filed her complaint in this action, alleging	
18	simply that Defendant had called her and hung up without saying anything or leaving a	
19	message. The complaint concluded Defendant was a debt collector attempting to collect a	
20	debt, and cited the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act as the basis for the claim. The	
21	complaint referred to two exhibits by way of further explanation, but neither of these were	
22	attached.	
23	The Court then issued an order observing the complaint did not appear to comply with	
24	Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a), and left the Court's jurisdiction unclear. The order required Plaintiff to	
25	either file a memorandum explaining why the complaint did comply with Rule 8(a), or amend	
26	the complaint to correct the defects the order identified. Plaintiff was ordered to do this no	
27	later than March 25, 2010, and she was cautioned that if she did not do so by that date, the	
28	complaint would be dismissed without prejudice.	

10CV0515

1	Since that time, Plaintiff has not filed anything and the Court's jurisdiction is therefore	
2	as unclear as before. Until the Court's jurisdiction is confirmed, it cannot proceed. United	
3	States v. Lopez, 577 F.3d 1053, 1066 (9th Cir. 2009). For this reason, and because of	
4	Plaintiff's failure to comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a) and the Court's previous order, the	
5	complaint is hereby DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE .	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	DATED: March 26, 2010	
8	Lang A. Burny	
9	HONORABLE LARRY ALAN BURNS United States District Judge	
10	Childe Claics District Budge	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
20		