1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SADIQ SAIBU,	Civil No. 10-0844-CAB
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF
13	V.	APPEALABILITY
14	BRENDA M. CASH, Warden	
15	Respondent.	
16	On August 5, 2011, this Court entered judgment denying the petition for a writ of habeas	
17	corpus filed in this case.	
18	Rule 11 of the Federal Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases states, "[t[he district court	
19	must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to the	
20	applicant." A certificate of appealability should be issued only where the petition presents "a	
21	substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). To meet	
22	this threshold showing, Petitioner must show that: (1) the issues are debatable among jurists of	
23	reason, (2) that a court could resolve the issues in a different manner, or (3) that the questions	
24	are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further. Lambright v. Stewart, 220 F.3d	
25	1022, 1024-25 (9th Cir. 2000) (citing <u>Slack v. McDaniel</u> , 529 U.S. 473 (2000); <u>Barefoot v.</u>	
26	<u>Estelle</u> , 463 U.S. 880 (1983)).	
27	//	
28	//	
	-1-	

1	In this case, the Court finds that the issues are not debatable among jurists of reason, nor
2	could the issues be resolved in a different manner. Further, the Court finds that the questions
3	are not adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further. Accordingly, the Court DENIES
4	a certificate of appealability.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	DATED: August 10, 2011
8	B
9	CATHY ANN BENCIVENGO United States Magistrate Judge
10	Office States Magistrate Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
23 26	
20 27	
28	
_0	