1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	TARLA MAKAEFF, on Behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly	Case No. 10cv0940 GPC (WVG)
10	Situated,	Situated, ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF/COUNTER
11	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANT TARLA MAKAEFF'S MOTION TO
12	VS.	QUASH AND DENYING DEFENDANT/
13		COUNTERCLAIMANT TRUMP UNIVERSITY, LLC'S MOTION TO COMPEL
14	TRUMP UNIVERSITY, LLC, (aka Trump Entrepreneur Initiative) a New	
15 16	TRUMP UNIVERSITY, LLC, (aka Trump Entrepreneur Initiative) a New York Limited Liability Company, DONALD J. TRUMP, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
17	Defendants.	
18 19	TRUMP UNIVERSITY, LLC,	
20	Counterclaimant,	
20	VS.	
22	TARLA MAKAEFF, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	
23	Counter Defendant.	
24		
25	On November 18, 2014, the Court ordered supplemental briefing in support of	
26	Plaintiff/Counter Defendant Tarla Makaeff's ("Makaeff") bill of fees and costs related	
27	to California's anti-SLAPP statute provision for attorney's fees. (Dkt. No. 358.) On	
28	November 21, 2014, Defendant/Counterclaimant Trump University, LLC ("Trump	

University") sent subpoenas to Plaintiff's counsel, as well as requests for production 1 of documents on Makaeff, seeking time records. On December 2, 2014, Plaintiff's 2 counsel sent written responses objecting to the subpoenas. On December 9, 2014, 3 counsel for the parties met and conferred telephonically, but were unable to resolve 4 their dispute. Later that same day, counsel for the parties called Magistrate Judge 5 Gallo's Chambers to advise of the dispute. On December 10, 2014, the Court 6 scheduled a telephone conference to address the parties' discovery dispute. The parties 7 each submitted letter briefs to the Court. On December 11, 2014, a telephone 8 conference hearing was held with all parties appearing telephonically by counsel. 9

In essence, Makaeff requests that the Court quash the subpoenas pursuant to Fed.
R. Civ. P. 45(d)(3). Trump University requests that the Court compel that the
documents be produced immediately because they were due on December 8, 2014.

Having considered the moving papers, arguments of counsel and the Court's
previous orders, the Court finds that the information sought would be an "undue
burden" under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(3)(A)(iv), and is not discoverable under Fed. R.
Civ. P. 26(b)(1), because it is not relevant to any pending claim or defense and is not
necessary at this point for Trump University challenging the bill of fees and costs.
Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Makaeff's motion to quash, and DENIES Trump
University's motion to compel.

20 21

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: December 16, 2014

URIEL United States District Judge