WVG Rosser v. Sanchez et al

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 LARRY ROSSER, Civil No. 10-1037 JAH (WVG) CDCR #K-26537, 12 Plaintiff, **ORDER:** 13 1) DENYING MOTION TO 14 PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*, DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT 15 VS. PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) 16 [Doc. No. 2] 17 **AND** H. SANCHEZ, et al., 18 2) GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S ON TO RE-SUBMIT 19 COMPLETED MOTION Defendants. TO PROCEED 20 IN FORMA PAUPERIS [Doc. No. 6] 21

22 Larry Rosser, ("Plaintiff"), currently incarcerated at High Desert State Prison ("HDSP") 23 in Susanville, California, and proceeding pro se, has submitted a civil rights Complaint pursuant

to 28 U.S.C. § 1983. 25

24

26

27

28

Plaintiff claims his constitutional rights were violated by Calipatria State Prison officials while he was incarcerated there throughout 2008. (Compl. at 1, 5-22.) Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief as well as compensatory and punitive damages. (*Id.* at 25-26.)

Plaintiff has not prepaid the \$350 filing fee mandated by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a); instead, he has filed a Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) [Doc. No. 2], as well as Motion requesting permission to re-submit a completed IFP application once he is able to obtain a copy of his prison trust account statement from officials at HDSP [Doc. No. 6].

I.

MOTION TO PROCEED IFP

All parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in a district court of the United States, except an application for writ of habeas corpus, must pay a filing fee of \$350. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). An action may proceed despite a party's failure to pay only if the party is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). *See Andrews v. Cervantes*, 493 F.3d 1047, 1051 (9th Cir. 2007); *Rodriguez v. Cook*, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999). "Under the PLRA, all prisoners who file IFP civil actions must pay the full amount of the filing fee," regardless of whether the action is ultimately dismissed for any reason. *See Taylor v. Delatoore*, 281 F.3d 844, 847 (9th Cir. 2002) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1) & (2)). "[T]he PLRA fee filing requirements pass constitutional muster." *Id.* at 848.

In order to comply with the PLRA, prisoners seeking leave to proceed IFP must also submit a "certified copy of the trust fund account statement (or institutional equivalent) for the prisoner for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint...." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). From the certified trust account statement, the Court assesses an initial payment of 20% of (a) the average monthly deposits in the account for the past six months, or (b) the average monthly balance in the account for the past six months, whichever is greater, unless the prisoner has no assets. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1), (4); *see Taylor*, 281 F.3d at 850. Thereafter, the institution having custody of the prisoner must collect subsequent payments, assessed at 20% of the preceding month's income, in any month in which the prisoner's account exceeds \$10, and forward those payments to the Court until the entire filing fee is paid. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).

| 1 | |
|---|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |

6 ac
7 the
8
9 ap
10 Pl
11 the
12 of
13 at
14 at

While Plaintiff has filed a Motion to Proceed IFP in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), he has not attached a certified copy of his prison trust account statement for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of his Complaint. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2); S.D. CAL. CIVLR 3.2. Section 1915(a)(2) clearly mandates that prisoners "seeking to bring a civil action ...without prepayment of fees ... *shall* submit a certified copy of the trust fund account statement (or institutional equivalent) ... for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) (emphasis added).

Without Plaintiff's trust account statement, the Court is simply unable to assess the appropriate amount of the filing fee required to initiate this action. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Plaintiff acknowledges that his IFP Motion lacks the trust accounting required by statute; therefore, he requests leave to submit a complete application once he is able to procure a copy of his trust account statement from HDSP trust account officials. *See* Pl.'s Mot. [Doc. No. 6] at 2-3. Accordingly, the Court must deny Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed IFP without prejudice at this time, but will provide Plaintiff with an opportunity to re-submit a completed application for IFP.

16

15

II.

CONCLUSION AND ORDER

17 18

For the reasons set forth above, **IT IS ORDERED** that:

19

(1) Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed IFP [Doc. No. 2] is **DENIED**;

2021

(2) This action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to prepay the \$350 filing fee mandated by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a), and for failure to successfully move to proceed IFP

Plaintiff's Motion for leave to re-submit a completed IFP Motion [Doc. No. 6] is

22

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a); and

(3)

2324

GRANTED. Plaintiff shall have forty five (45) days from the date this Order is Filed to either:

25

(1) pay the entire \$350 filing fee, or (2) file a new Motion to Proceed IFP, which includes a

26

certified copy of his trust account statement for the 6-month period preceding the filing of his

27

28 ///

///

Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and S.D. CAL. CIVLR 3.2(b).¹ Trust account officials at HDSP are hereby directed to provide Plaintiff with the accounting necessary to support his IFP Motion as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall provide Plaintiff with a Court-approved form "Motion and Declaration in Support of Motion to Proceed IFP" in this matter. If Plaintiff neither pays the \$350 filing fee in full nor sufficiently completes and files the attached Motion to Proceed IFP, together with a certified copy of his prison trust account statement within 45 days, this action shall remained dismissed without prejudice and without further Order of the Court.

DATED: June 4, 2010

MON. JOHN A. HOUSTON United States District Judge

Plaintiff is cautioned that if he chooses to proceed further with this action either by paying the full civil filing fee required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a), or sufficiently moving to proceed IFP, his Complaint will be screened and may be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(b) regardless of fee status or payment. *See Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1126-27 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (noting that 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) "not only permits but requires" the court to sua sponte dismiss an *in forma pauperis* complaint that fails to state a claim); *see also Resnick v. Hayes*, 213 F.3d 443, 446 (9th Cir. 2000) (discussing sua sponte screening required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)). Moreover, such a dismissal may be counted as a "strike" against Plaintiff if he requests IFP status in any future civil action filed while he is incarcerated. *See Andrews*, 493 F.3d at 1052 (under the Prison Litigation Reform Act, "[p]risoners who have repeatedly brought unsuccessful suits may entirely be barred from IFP status under the three strikes rule[.]").