

FILED

10 JUN 24 AM 10:38

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MTB
DEPUTY

BY:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ALFREDO LOPEZ,
CDCR #T-62317,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CDCR STATE PRISON, Richard J.
Donovan; CATHE FRANSDAL; SUSAN
PASHA; I CHOO,

Defendants.

Civil No. 10-1131 WQH (WVG)

ORDER:

**(1) DISMISSING CIVIL ACTION
AS DUPLICATIVE PURSUANT TO
28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1); and**

**(2) DENYING MOTION TO PROCEED
IN FORMA PAUPERIS AS MOOT
[Doc. No. 5]**

Plaintiff, a state inmate currently incarcerated at California Men’s Colony located in San Luis Obispo, California and proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983. Plaintiff has not prepaid the \$350 filing fee mandated by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a); instead, he has filed a Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (“IFP”) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) [Doc. No 5].

////

////

1 **I. Sua Sponte Screening Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)**

2 The Prison Litigation Reform Act (“PLRA”), 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, obligates the Court to
3 review complaints filed by anyone “incarcerated or detained in any facility who is accused of,
4 sentenced for, or adjudicated delinquent for, violations of criminal law or the terms or conditions
5 of parole, probation, pretrial release, or diversionary program,” “as soon as practicable after
6 docketing” and regardless of whether the prisoner prepays filing fees or moves to proceed IFP.
7 See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), (c). The Court must sua sponte dismiss prisoner complaints, or any
8 portions thereof, which are frivolous, malicious, or fail to state a claim upon which relief may
9 be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b); *Resnick v. Hayes*, 213 F.3d 443, 446-47 (9th Cir. 2000).

10 Plaintiff’s instant Complaint is subject to sua sponte dismissal pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
11 § 1915A(b)(1) because it appears to be duplicative of a case Plaintiff is already litigating.
12 Plaintiff’s Complaint contains identical claims that are found in *Lopez v. Fransdal, et al.*, S.D.
13 Cal. Civil Case No. 07cv1905 DMS. A court “may take notice of proceedings in other courts,
14 both within and without the federal judicial system, if those proceedings have a direct relation
15 to matters at issue.” *United States ex rel. Robinson Rancheria Citizens Council v. Borneo, Inc.*,
16 971 F.2d 244, 248 (9th Cir. 1992).

17 A prisoner’s complaint is considered frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1) if it
18 “merely repeats pending or previously litigated claims.” *Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103,
19 1105 n.2 (9th Cir. 1995) (construing former 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d)) (citations and internal
20 quotations omitted). Because Plaintiff has already litigated the same claims presented in the
21 instant action in *Lopez v. Fransdal, et al.*, S.D. Cal. Civil Case No. 07cv1905 DMS, the Court
22 hereby **DISMISSES** Civil Case No. 10cv1131 WQH (WVG) pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
23 § 1915A(b)(1). See *Cato*, 70 F.3d at 1105 n.2; *Resnick*, 213 F.3d at 446 n.1.

24 **II. Conclusion and Order**

25 Good cause appearing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

26 (1) Plaintiff’s Complaint in Civil Case No. 10cv1131 WQH (WVG) is **DISMISSED**
27 as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1).

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

(2) Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed IFP [Doc. No. 5] is **DENIED** as moot.

The Clerk shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 6/24/10



HON. WILLIAM Q. HAYES
United States District Court