-CAB Gordo	on v. GMAC et al	Doc. 12
1 2 3 4 5 6		
8	UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	AMON GORDON,	) Civil No. 10cv1270 L (CAB)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING WITHOUT
13	v.	) PREJUDICE AMENDED MOTION ) TO DISMISS AND AMENDED
14	GMAC, et al.,	) MOTION TO STRIKE [doc. nos. 8, 9]
15	Defendants.	<b>)</b>
16		)
17	Defendants Portfolio Recovery Associates, Inc. and PRA Location Services LLC filed	
18	amended motions to strike portions of the complaint and to dismiss the complaint. Plaintiff filed	
19	an amended complaint on August 30, 2010. Because an amended complaint supersedes a prior	
20	complaint as a pleading, when a plaintiff elects to file an amended complaint, a district court	
21	may treat an existing motion to dismiss as moot. Forsyth v. Humana, Inc., 114 F.3d 1467, 1474	
22	(9th Cir. 1997); Hal Roach Studios, Inc. v. Richard Feiner & Co., 896 F.2d 1542, 1546 (9th Cir.	
23	1990). Accordingly, the Court <b>DENIES AS MOOT and WITHOUT PREJUDICE</b>	
24	defendants' amended motions to strike and to dismiss the complaint.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	DATED: September 8, 2010	
27		M James Korenz
28		United States District Court Judge
		10cv1270

	Ī
1	
2	COPY TO:
3	HON. CATHY ANN BENCIVENGO
4	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5	ALL PARTIES/COUNSEL
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

2 10cv1270