1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 COVARSIA DILL, Civil No. 10cv1494-W (CAB) 11 Plaintiff. ORDER DENYING WITHOUT 12 PREJUDICE MOTION TO COMPEL v. **DISCOVERY** 13 [Doc. No. 26] J. IVES, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 On May 18, 2011, plaintiff filed a motion for order compelling discovery. [Doc. No. 26.] 16 In the motion, plaintiff seeks an order compelling the production of certain records and 17 information. However, there is no evidence that a) plaintiff has propounded a written discovery 18 request on defendants and b) defendants either failed to respond to the discovery request or 19 provided an insufficient response. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(3)(B), (4); James v. Wash. Depot 20 Holdings, Inc., 240 FRD 693, 694-695 (S.D. Fla. 2006). Given that there is no underlying 21 discovery request upon which this court can rule, the motion is **DENIED WITHOUT** 22 PREJUDICE. 23 DATED: May 18, 2011 24 25 **CATHY ANN BENCIVENGO** 26 United States Magistrate Judge 27 28