28

4.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ALAN DEVON, Civil No. 10cv2098 BTM (PCL) CDCR #E-43780, 12 13 ORDER DISMISSING CASE FOR FAILING TO PAY INITIAL FILING Plaintiff, 14 FEE VS. 15 16 A. HERNANDEZ, W. SUGLIGH: R. VALDEZ; P. COWAN, 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 Plaintiff, Alan Devon, is a state inmate who is currently incarcerated at the California 23 State Prison in Lancaster, California. Plaintiff filed a civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 24 along with a Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis ("IFP") [Doc. No. 3]. On October 27, 2010, 25 Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC"). The Court reviewed Plaintiff's First 26 Amended Complaint, along with Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed IFP and determined that Plaintiff 27 was barred from proceeding IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). See Nov. 3, 2010 Order at 3-

-1- 10cv2098 BTM (PCL)

The Court then provided Plaintiff with forty five (45) days in which to pay the entire \$350 civil filing fee in full. *Id.* at 4. If Plaintiff failed to pay the filing fee, the Court notified Plaintiff that his action would be dismissed. That time has passed and Plaintiff has not paid the initial civil filing fee. However, on December 7, 2010, the Court accepted for filing a letter from Plaintiff in which he indicates that he does not "know why you haven't allowed my motion for leave for IFP to be approved on the ADA violations the Institution took me through." *See* Pl.'s letter dated December 7, 2010 at 1.

As the Court stated in the November 3, 2010 Order, Plaintiff has had at least three prisoner civil cases dismissed on the grounds that they were frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. *See* Nov. 3, 2010 Order at 3. Moreover, the Court reviewed Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and found that he failed to allege a "plausible allegation" that he faced imminent danger of serious physical injury at the time he filed this action. *Id.* Thus, Plaintiff is simply not entitled to proceed IFP in this matter.

Now that the time has passed for Plaintiff to pay the initial civil filing fee and he has informed the Court that he is unable to do so, the Court must dismiss this action.

Conclusion and Order

For the reasons set forth above, the Court hereby:

DISMISSES Plaintiff's action for failing to comply with the Court's November 3, 2010 Order and for failing to pay the initial civil filing fee.

The Clerk of Court shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 29, 2010

Honorable Barry Ted Moskowitz United States District Judge