-NLS Buck v. SD	Coastline LP et al		Doc. 8
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ROBYN JEAN BUCK,	CASE NO. 10-CV-2107 MMA (NLS)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR DISMISSAL OF	
13	VS.	ACTION WITH PREJUDICE	
14	SD COASTLINE LP and DOES 1-10,	[Doc. No. 7]	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	On October 8, 2010, Plaintiff Robyn Jean Buck ("Buck"), proceeding pro se, filed a complaint		
18	alleging improper eviction proceedings related to real property located at 1769 Vale Terrace Drive,		e,
19	Vista, CA 92084, against Defendant SD Coastline LP ("SD Coastline"), and Does 1-10. [Doc. No.		0.
20	1.] Also on October 8, 2010, Buck filed a Notice of Removal of SD Coastline LP v. Robyn Jean Buck,		k,
21	case number 10-CV-2108 MMA (NLS). Both cases were assigned to the undersigned pursuant to		io
22	Civil Local Rule 40.1.d; the cases were not consolidated. On November 19, 2010, the Court		rt
23	remanded SD Coastline LP v. Robyn Jean Buck to the Superior Court of California, County of San		ın
24	Diego. [Case No. 10-CV-2108; Doc. No. 14.]		
25	On January 5, 2011, Buck and SD Coastline reached a settlement in SD Coastline LP v. Robyn		'n
26	Jean Buck, and signed a "Settlement Stipulation and Mutual Release of all Claims." The terms of the		ie
27	agreement included a mutual release of "any and all past, present, and future claims, actions, causes		es
28	of action which is in any manner or in any eve	of action which is in any manner or in any event related to disputes in this unlawful detainer	
	-	1 - 10CV21	07
	4		1

action and/or [Robyn Jean Buck's] occupancy of [1769 Vale Terrace Drive, Vista, CA 92084]."

On January 19, 2011, the "Settlement Stipulation and Mutual Release of all Claims" was filed in the instant case and entitled "Joint Motion for Settlement." [Doc. No. 7.] The filing party also submitted a proposed order "Dismissing Entire Action With Prejudice" pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7.2.c. The Court has carefully reviewed the settlement stipulation and hereby construes the filing as a Joint Motion for Dismissal of Action With Prejudice.

Accordingly, good cause appearing, the Court hereby **GRANTS** the parties' Joint Motion for Dismissal of Entire Action With Prejudice. [Doc. No. 7.] The Clerk of Court shall terminate the case.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 20, 2011

Hon. Michael M. Anello United States District Judge

Michael Tu- Chello

- 2 - 10CV2107