1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DREW MARTIN,	CASE NO. 11cv91-MMA (RBB)	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	VS.	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL'S MOTION TO BE	
14	COUNTY OF SAN DIECO, et al	RELIEVED AS COUNSEL OF RECORD	
15	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, et al.,	[Doc. No. 35]	
16	Defendant.		
17	This civil rights action is currently before the Court on the motion of counsel for Plaintiff		
18	Drew Martin to withdraw as attorney of record. The motion is unopposed. For the reasons set forth		
19	below, the Court GRANTS the motion.		
20	An attorney may not withdraw as counsel except by leave of court, Darby v. City of		
21	Torrance, 810 F. Supp. 275, 276 (C.D. Cal. 1992), and "[t]he decision to grant or deny counsel's		
22	motion to withdraw is committed to the discretion of the trial court." LaGrand v. Stewart, 133 F.3d		
23	1253, 1269 (9th Cir. 1998); see also CIV. L.R. 83.3(g)(3). Withdrawal is governed generally by the		
24	California Rules of Professional Conduct. See Nehad v. Mukasey, 535 F.3d 962, 970 (9th Cir. 2008)		
25	(applying California Rules of Professional Conduct to attorney withdrawal).		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		

11cv91

An attorney seeking to withdraw must adhere to the requirements of California Rule of Professional Conduct 3-700(A)(2), which provides:

A member shall not withdraw from employment until the member has taken reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to the rights of the client, including giving due notice to the client, allowing time for employment of other counsel, complying with Rule 3-700(D) [which addresses the disposition of client papers and property], and complying with applicable laws and rules.

In addition to serving Defendants and Plaintiff with the motion, Plaintiff's counsel also provided a
courtesy copy to Plaintiff's parents. Plaintiff's counsel has fulfilled the notice requirement of Rule
3-700(A)(2).

9 Plaintiff's counsel moves to withdraw based on his client's failure to pay the full amount of 10 fees owed for services rendered and expenses incurred during the course of this litigation. See 11 Rutman Decl'n, 1-2. California Rule of Professional Conduct 3-700(C)(1)(f) provides that an 12 attorney may request permission to withdraw if the client "breaches an agreement or obligation to the member as to expenses or fees." According to Plaintiff's counsel, his client has been unable to 13 comply with their fee agreement for some time, resulting in Plaintiff's counsel advancing 14 15 approximately \$6,000 of his own funds to cover expenses related to this litigation. See Rutman 16 Decl'n, 2-3. Plaintiff's counsel deferred the payment of fees to allow his client additional time to 17 reimburse expenses and comply with the fee agreement, to no avail. *Id.* at 2. On September 12, 18 2011, Plaintiff was arrested on charges of drug possession and distribution, and thereafter entered 19 into a plea agreement which anticipates a seven year stipulated prison term. Id. at 3. Plaintiff's 20ability to comply with the fee agreement or otherwise cover the expense of this litigation is now 21 further constrained due to his incarceration.

The Court finds that Plaintiff's counsel has complied with the applicable rules of
 professional conduct and demonstrated that good cause exists for his withdrawal as attorney of
 record. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS the motion to withdraw, effective as of the date this
 Order is filed. <u>The Court advises Plaintiff that he is now proceeding in this matter *pro se* and
 <u>must provide the Court with his current contact information, including mailing address</u>.
 ///
</u>

28 ///

1

2

3

4

5

1	As soon as practicable, and in compliance with California Rule of Professional Conduct	
2	3-700(D), Plaintiff's counsel shall provide Plaintiff with copies of any documents in his possession	
3	that Plaintiff may need to litigate his case, as well as a copy of this Order and the Court's Order	
4	Providing Notice to Pro Se Prisoner of Requirements for Opposing Summary Judgment, to be issued	
5	contemporaneously herewith.	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	DATED: January 10, 2012 Michael Tu - Chello	
8	Hon. Michael M. Anello	
9	United States District Judge	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
10 19		
20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		