

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ATHENA HOHENBERG, individually
and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

FERRERO U.S.A., INC., a foreign
corporation,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 11-CV-205 H (CAB)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT**


On February 23, 2011, the parties filed a joint motion for extension of time for Defendant to respond to the complaint. (Doc. No. 6.) In their motion, the parties request that Defendant be allowed to file its response 20 days after the filing of an amended consolidated complaint. (Id. at 2-3.) However, this date assumes that the Court will grant Plaintiff’s motion to consolidate, a motion which Plaintiff has not yet even filed. Accordingly, the Court declines to adopt the proposed extension date and grants Defendant a 30 day extension instead. For good cause shown, the Court GRANTS the joint motion. Defendant Ferrero U.S.A., Inc. is

///
///
///
///

1 directed to file any response to the Plaintiff's complaint on or before March 28, 2011.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3 DATED: February 24, 2011

4 
5 MARILYN L. HUFF, District Judge
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28