

P O Box 1371121
Boston – MA 02117-171121

Monday – December 14th – 2009

The Chief-In-Chief Counsel
Westlaw Headquarters
610 Operman Drive
Eagan – MN 55123

Dear Sir/Madam


NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE [MGLC 93] AND MONETARY COMPENSATION OF \$50M

My name is as undersigned and as luck would have it, I came across a publication of your organization, which libeled or defamed me bottomlessly. The publication was palpably discriminatory. The truth about the proceedings surrounding circumstances was knowingly swept under the rug and I was projected as a stupid Complainant who took exceptional interest in abusing the resources of the federal judiciary. If one were ignorant of the mechanics of law, s/he should admit so. More, the law stated *supra* had no statutory provision in Massachusetts and it existed on judicial inclination or activism. Of course, the proceedings if need be would be commenced at a federal's court. Judge Droney initially described the proceedings surrounding circumstances as a "legalized fraud." [Exhibit 1]

The author/s of the publication appeared not to know much about law and they wrote about it authoritatively. Who taught them that the undersigned would not commence proceedings at any federal's courts irrespective of where he resided? The *long-arm statute* doctrine only applied if one commenced proceedings at a State's court. As far as one had contact with the *sovereign power* – any United States' District Courts - should be apt to exercising *subject-matter* and *personal jurisdiction*. The legal novice publisher/s went as far as stating "seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief" amongst others. Recently, the Department of Justice settled a lawsuit filed in 1993 for land bought in 1815 with Seneca Nation, Tonawanda Band of Seneca and Grand Island in Allegheny County, New York. The publication lent itself squarely to *quo warranto* or on whose authority. The 11th Amendment provided for "Suits Against State Officials" and "Tort Actions Against State Officials." On page A15 of "The Boston Globe," of May 5th – 1998 was a publication entitled "Justices ease rule for using officials." Plethora of lodestar precedents existed! [*Scheuer v. Rhodes*, 416 U.S. 232 (1974); *Supreme Court of VA. v. Consumers Union*, 446 U.S. 719 (1980)]

In conclusion, I hope the ugly state of things would not culminate into prostitution of much-needed time individually and collectively, thus lawsuit. The publication underscored *strict liabilities*, indeed and it should not be distorted or trivialized. They were as plain as the nose had been on the face and it was not a sophism. I look forward anxiously to read from you [as occasion offered]

Yours truly



CHUKWUMA EAZUBUKO M Ed LLB

Victim of Westlaw untruthful and malicious publication.

Ex. 3