I

1	X-PATENTS, APC JONATHAN HANGARTNER, Cal. Bar No.	HANGARTNER, Cal. Bar No. 196268	
2	5670 La Jolla Blvd. La Jolla, CA 92037		
3	Telephone: 858-454-4313 Facsimile: 858-454-4313		
4	jon@x-patents.com		
5	Jennifer Towle, Cal. Bar No. 225095 W. Bryan Farney		
6	Steven R. Daniels, Cal. Bar No. 235398 FARNEY DANIELS LLP		
7	800 S. Austin Ave., Suite 200		
8	Georgetown, Texas 78626 Telephone: (512) 582-2828		
9	Facsimile: (512) 582-2829 JTowle@farneydaniels.com		
10	BFarney@farneydaniels.com SDaniels@farneydaniels.com		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Mobile Commerce Framework Inc.		
12			
13		ES DISTRICT COURT	
14	SOUTHERN DIS	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15			
16	MOBILE COMMERCE FRAMEWORK INC.,	Case No. <b>'11CV2589 MMA MDD</b>	
17	Plaintiff,		
18	v.	COMPLAINT	
19		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
20	Yelp! Inc., Defendant.		
21	Derendant.		
22	Plaintiff Mobile Commerce Fr	laintiff Mobile Commerce Framework Inc. ("MCF") for its complaint against	
23	Defendant Yelp! Inc. ("Yelp") avers as follo	DWS:	
24	<u>P</u>	ARTIES	
25	1. Plaintiff MCF is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its		
26	principal place of business at 24196 Alicia Pa	arkway, Suite L, Mission Viejo, California 92691.	
27	///		
28	///		
	COMPLAINT	- 1 -	

1	2.	On information and belief, Defendant Yelp is a corporation organized under the		
2	laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 706 Mission Street, Floor 7, San			
3	Francisco, California 94103.			
4	JURISDICTION			
5	3.	This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the		
6	United States	s of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq.		
7	4.	This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Complaint pursuant to		
8	28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 & 1338.			
9	5.	This Court has personal jurisdiction over Yelp because Yelp purposefully offers		
10	and provides the infringing products through established distribution channels into the State of			
11	California and the Southern District of California.			
12	6.	Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b) because Yelp		
13	offers the infringing products to customers in the Southern District of California and because			
14	Yelp is subject to personal jurisdiction in the Southern District of California.			
15	7.	This case involves the same patent at issue in the matter Mobile Commerce		
16	Framework,	Inc. v. Foursquare Labs, Inc., Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-00481-BEN-BLM, which is		
17	currently pen	ding in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California.		
18		BACKGROUND		
19	8.	On April 6, 2010, United States Patent No. 7,693,752 (the '752 patent), on an		
20	invention ent	itled "MOBILE COMMERCE FRAMEWORK," was duly and legally issued by the		
21	United States	Patent and Trademark Office. Attached as Exhibit A is a copy of the '752 patent.		
22	9.	The '752 patent has been in force and effect since its issuance. MCF is the owner		
23	of the entire 1	right, title and interest in and to the '752 patent.		
24	10.	Yelp has made and distributes to customers throughout the United States various		
25	software app	lications for mobile devices that can be used to subscribe to the Yelp platform to		
26	obtain inform	nation and offers from merchants based on their merchant type and location.		
27	///			
28	///			
	COMPLAINT	- 2 -		

1	COUNT I				
2	(INFRINGEMENT OF THE '752 PATENT)				
3	3 11. MCF realleges and incorporates the previous paragr	aphs of this Complaint as			
4	4 though set forth in full herein.				
5	5 12. Yelp has used, offered for sale, sold, and/or importe	d in the United States			
6	6 products, including at least various Yelp mobile applications, such	as, for example, Yelp for			
7	7 iphone, Yelp for Android, and Yelp for Blackberry, Yelp for Wind	ows Phone 7, and Yelp for			
8	8 Palm Pre, which literally and under the doctrine of equivalents infr	inge one or more claims of the			
9	9 '752 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.				
10	0 13. MCF has been damaged and has suffered irreparable	e injury due to acts of			
11	1 infringement by Yelp and will continue to suffer irreparable injury	unless Yelp's activities are			
12	2 enjoined.				
13	3 14. MCF has suffered and will continue to suffer substa	ntial damages by reason of			
14	4 Yelp's acts of patent infringement alleged above, and MCF is entit	led to recover from Yelp for			
15	5 the damages sustained as a result of Yelp's acts.				
16	6 PRAYER				
17	7 WHEREFORE, MCF prays that judgment be entered by the	s Court in its favor and			
18	8 against Yelp as follows:				
19	A. That Yelp has infringed the '752 patent;				
20	B. Permanently enjoining and restraining Yelp, its ager	nts, affiliates, subsidiaries,			
21	servants, employees, officers, directors, attorneys and those persons in active concert with or				
22	2 controlled by Yelp from further infringing the '752 patent;				
23	C. For an award of damages adequate to compensate N	ICF for the damages it has			
24	4 suffered as a result of Yelp's conduct, including pre-judgment inter	est;			
25	5 D. That Yelp be directed to withdraw from distribution	all infringing products,			
26	6 whether in the possession of Yelp or its distributors or retailers, and	d that all infringing products			
27	7 or materials be impounded or destroyed;				
28	8 E. For monetary damages in an amount according to pr	roof;			
	COMPLAINT - 3 -				

1	F. For interest on said damages at the legal rate from and after the date such damages						
2	were incurred;						
3	G. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.						
4	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL						
5	Plaintiff MCF hereby	demands a jury trial as to all issues that are so triable.					
6							
7	Dated: November 7, 2011	I: November 7, 2011 X-PATENTS, APC					
8							
9		By: <u>/s/ Jonathan Hangartner</u> Jonathan Hangartner					
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff Mobile Commerce					
11		Framework Inc.					
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	COMPLAINT	- 4 -					

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

\$253 44 (Rev. 12/07)		OVER SHEET			
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and by local rules of court. This fo the civil docket sheet. (SEE II	I the information contained herein neither replace no orm, approved by the Judicial Conference of the Uni NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	or supplement the filing and se ited States in September 1974	ervice of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provid 4, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiati		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	-	DEFENDA	ANTS		
	E FRAMEWORK INC.		YELP! INC.		
	e of First Listed Plaintiff Orange EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		idence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE		
			LAND INVOLVED.		
x .	e, Address, and Telephone Number) La Jolla Blvd., La Jolla, CA 92037; te		Attorneys (If Known) '11CV2589 MMAMDD		
858-454-4313		Ŧ			
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		P OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaint		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Citizen of This State	es Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF 1 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 of Business In Another State		
	(indicate Citizensing of Parties in item in)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6		
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				
CONTRACT	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJU	RY 0 610 Agriculture			
<ul> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> <li>REAL PROPERTY</li> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	310 Airplane       362 Personal Injury         315 Airplane Product       Med. Malpract         Liability       365 Personal Injury         320 Assault, Libel &       Product Liability         330 Federal Employers'       Jability         Liability       368 Asbestos Personal Injury         340 Marine       PERSONAL PROPE         345 Marine Product       370 Other Fraud         Liability       371 Truth in Lendir         355 Motor Vehicle       Property Damag         Product Liability       385 Property Damag	y- ice    620 Other Food & Dru ice    625 Drug Related Seiz of Property 21 US ity    630 Liquor Laws    640 R.R. & Truck    650 Airline Regs.    660 Occupational Safety/Health    690 Other    710 Fair Labor Standa ge    710 Fair Labor Standa ge    720 Labor/Mgmt. Rela    730 Labor/Mgmt. Rela    740 Railway Labor Ac    790 Other Labor Litig    791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act    463 Habeas Corpus -	izure       28 USC 157       430 Banks and Banking         SC 881       #50 Commerce         PROPERTY RIGHTS       460 Deportation         820 Copyrights       470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations         840 Trademark       480 Consumer Credit         940 Cable/Sat TV       940 Cable/Sat TV         840 Trademark       810 Selective Service         SOCIAL SECURITY       850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange         863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))       875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410         9865 RSI (405(g))       890 Other Statutory Actions         ext       FEDERAL TAX SUITS       892 Economic Stabilization A gation         0 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609       895 Freedom of Information Act       990Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice         950 Constitutionality of       950 Constitutionality of		
St 1 Original Proceeding       □ 2 R St         VI. CAUSE OF ACTI         VII. REQUESTED IN	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you           ON         Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you           Brief description of cause:         Patent infringement           V         Image: Comparison of cause:           Comparison of cause:         Compar		Transferred from another district (specify) 0 6 Multidistrict Litigation 7 Magistrate Judge from Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:		
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):		JURY DEMAND: Ø Yes 🗆 No		
IF ANY	JUDGE Roger	T. Benitez	DOCKET NUMBER 11-cv-00481-BEN-BLM		
11/07/2011	signature of A				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

```
RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____
```

APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

\_