1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BLM

ORDER

CASE NO.12cv1299-WQH-

SEPEHR TORABI,

VS.

DEUTSCHE BANK, CITIBANK, and DOES 1-2, inclusive,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

HAYES, Judge:

On May 30, 2012, Plaintiff initiated this action by filing a Complaint in this Court. (ECF No. 1). On July 9, 2013, Plaintiff filed the First Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 18). On November 5, 2013, the Court issued an Order stating that it would dismiss the action without prejudice as to Defendants Deutsche Bank and Citibank on November 25, 2013, unless, before that date, Plaintiff files proof that service of the First Amended Complaint was effectuated upon Defendants Deutsche Bank and Citibank. (ECF No. 24). On November 25, 2013, Plaintiff filed certificates of service indicating that Defendants Deutsche Bank and Citibank were both served via mail. (ECF Nos. 25, 26). The docket reflects that no action has been taken by Plaintiff in this case since November 25, 2013.

1	On May 29, this Court issued an Order stating:
2	Pursuant to Local Rule 41.1, "[a]ctions or proceedings which have been
3	pending in this court for more than six months, without any proceeding or discovery having been taken therein during such period, may, after notice, be dismissed by the court for want of prosecution." S.D. Cal. Civ. Local
4	Rule 41.1; see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). It appearing to the Court that dismissal for want of prosecution may be appropriate in this case. Plaintiff
5	Rule 41.1; see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). It appearing to the Court that dismissal for want of prosecution may be appropriate in this case, Plaintiff is hereby ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE as to why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute.
6	
7	Plaintiff shall file a written response to this ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE no later than twenty-one (21) days from the date this Order is filed. If Plaintiff does not respond, the Court will dismiss this case without
8	prejudice.
9	(ECF No. 29 at 1-2). To date, Plaintiff has not responded to the Order to Show
10	Cause.
11	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without
12	prejudice. The Clerk of Court shall close this case.
13	DATED: July 1, 2014
14	
	William J. Mayer-
15	William 2. Hayes WILLIAM Q. HAYES
	WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge
15	WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge
15 16	WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge
15 16 17	WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge
15 16 17 18	WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19	WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20	WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge

28